

Review of: "Impact of entrepreneurial orientation on business performance: Analysis of small-medium sized corn enterprises"

Antonio Rodríguez Peña¹

¹ Universidad EAN

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Although the title of the article is very interesting, the development of the article presents great room for improvement in terms of form and theoretical depth. Both the abstract and the keywords are incomplete, for example, although the body of the document states that innovation is key for small and medium-sized enterprises, they do not take this statement into account in the definition of the keywords.

The introduction is not so clear, there is a lack of coherence between the title and the introduction, and the order and scope in which the topics are treated make it difficult to read the document. I suggest starting by introducing the central variables of the research, such as entrepreneurship orientation and its relationship with firm performance. Additionally, the introduction presents data without indicating the sources of it, such as "*The high number of unemployed in Indonesia and the need for around 38.33 million new entrepreneurs to strengthen Indonesia's competitiveness*", which weakens the paper.

The theoretical review is very superficial, apparently with incomplete sentences. There is really no theoretical development that adequately supports the hypothesis, and in general, it presents serious problems with wording and coherence in the development of this chapter.

The methodology chapter is not clear, it does not explain why the sample is representative or significant, and there is no reference to any reliability indicator of the research instrument. As for the results, they are very general, without depth and sufficient analysis. It is suggested to be more careful with the details, such as truncated tables and wording, among others ("**arthritis*", it is not understood what this is and the asterisk is not found anywhere else in the document). The conclusions chapter is very general, there is no analysis of theoretical or practical implications and no suggestions for future research, and in general, it is weak. References are scarce and could be better.

I recognize the effort of the researchers, and I invite them to continue on this path but seek greater rigor and depth in future research.