

Review of: "The Parental Role in Child Sexuality: A Phenomenological Study"

Leonel Philibert¹

¹ Université Laval

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

I understand the authors' desire to publish an article. The subject is relevant, but the authors have to work hard to get their article published.

I suggest that the article be reworked and resubmitted. It cannot be published as it is.

Here are my comments:

1- A research article must have the following elements: introduction, methods, results and conclusion. In some cases, we have a framework. These elements must be well organized in the text.

2- In the introduction to this article, the authors talk about all sorts of things. It's hard to follow their arguments, and there's even a methodological section in the introduction.

3- The authors have used several theoretical frameworks, but we don't see how they use them in the study and in the data analysis. I don't see the relevance of all these theories. Moreover, the authors did not use them.

4- 4-The authors speak of a descriptive, exploratory and phenomenological study. These are two different research designs. They cannot be applied at the same time. What's more, the authors use Fortin 1996, and we're in 2023. They use Fortin's definitions, but they haven't clearly described their methodological approach. They don't have interview times or scientific criteria.

5- Results

The authors speak of qualitative research, but they have presented quantitative results too. It's just not possible. This is not mixed research. What's more, it's very difficult to understand the verbatim excerpts for the so-called qualitative results. They presented results and discussion. Yet these are two different parts.

6- Discussion

A results discussion includes the following elements:

Summary of results

Comparison of results with literature

Study strengths and weaknesses

Avenues for future research.

7- This study is not phenomenological in nature. Thus, the title must change. The authors must make a good revision of qualitative research.

8- It's 2023, the references are too old. Authors need to read recent studies to add to their articles.