

Review of: "Blockchain EV Payment Systems: A Systematic Literature Review in Retail Energy Trading"

Ayesha Shahnaz

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

After reading the paper I would say that this paper needs to be revised and major changes should be made before publishing it. The authors have done work but there are certain weakness in their writings which is making it difficult for me to understand that what was the motivation behind this study and what are its findings. Following are some changes that I recommend.

Comment 1

Firstly you need to rephrase your abstract. An abstract gives the readers an overview of your research and it should be concise and well structured. It should have 5 important points in it

- 1. The purpose / reason of this research work
- 2. The problem your research is addressing or attempting to give solution to
- 3. Method and approach that you took to solve that problem
- 4. Your results and findings
- 5. Implications of those findings

So keeping these in mind restructure your abstract.

Comment 2

Secondly, you need to include images, figures or other relevant diagrams in your paper. There is only one table in your whole paper. So including figures or images will make it interactive and engaging for the readers. It will also gives a clear picture of what you are meaning to explain.

For example you can add a figure about how does a smart contract works while mentioning it. Or you can include an image about Blockchain technology in general. Most importantly you should include a flowchart or a diagram depicting how the papers were selected and excluded for this study. You can also include a pie chart of your findings while explaining them. That will give a clear picture of your research.

Comment 3



It is not clear as to what are your actual findings and how do they impact your target area. You need to explain this in your findings, the questions you mentioned at start should be explained and answered concisely in your findings.

Comment 4

Be clear and write in simple sentences. It looks to me as the authors have done substantial work but are not able to show it properly in writing.

Comment 5

Lastly include conclusion in your paper. What have you understood after conducting this research? Was your methodology correct while conducting this study or it could be improved, if yes how can it be improved?