

Review of: "Adoption of Technology Acceptance and Interfaces for Academic Information System Applications"

Aveek Basu

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Thanks to the authors for the analysis of the study. Would like to share a few review points on the paper:

1. TAM is a widely used model that has been applied multiple times in various accepted papers till date. What is the justification for choosing the TAM model again when there are so many new models being developed and also when the latest TAM models are available?
2. Based on the above point, where lies the novelty of the paper?
3. The demographic distribution of the respondents is not clear, and it should be clearly mentioned. Is it only the students of a particular class/section being part of the survey?
4. The number of respondents is too low, with a count of approximately 180. The numbers should have been at least 500+ to come to a decision.
5. "It took me 10 minutes to be able to complete this whole set of questions." How come within 10 minutes this set of questions can be completed when it takes time for multiple reviews?
6. A schematic diagram of the model with the results should have been provided in the research area.
7. Only the TAM model shouldn't be accepted. There should be additional constructs added to the model, or a hybrid model should have been shown which can increase the depth of the paper.
8. A comparative analysis of other models should have been provided in the research.
9. How can this output help the research community as a whole when it refers to a particular region and that too with only 180 respondents?
10. Did the authors determine the results by any tool like SPSS? If yes, then it should be mentioned clearly.