

Review of: "Financial Repercussions of Carbon Emissions and Depletion of Natural Resources: The Role of Fiscal Policy in Determining Health Expenditures"

Ausrine Lakstutiene¹

¹ Kaunas University of Technology

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Review report of Financial Repercussions of Carbon Emissions and Depletion of Natural Resources: The Role of Fiscal Policy in Determining Health Expenditures

Here are some revised suggestions:

1. It is recommended to ensure that the abstract maintains a clear and consistent structure. This structure should cover essential aspects such as the relevance of the problem, the context in which it exists, the purpose of the research, the methodology employed, and the results obtained.
2. Consider organizing the article into distinct and clearly delineated sections, including a introduction, literature analysis, research methodology, research findings and results, conclusions, and optionally, a discussion section.
3. Conducting a deeper analysis of the scientific literature and raising a scientific discussion where the author's scientific contribution and novelty would be clear. Now the introduction and literature analysis, which are dedicated to pages 2 and 3, are not distinguished. On page 2, it is not clear whether the author's thoughts are written or the literature analysis is carried out, without providing citations.
4. Significantly shortening the section on "Global Patterns of Health Expenditures and Environmental Conditions." This includes condensing the presentation of data in Table 1 and providing a more concise description of their significance in the text. Currently, there is a lack of clear justification for why this information is crucial and how it directly relates to the research methodology's justification.
5. The research methodology should be thoroughly justified and reasoned, along with the selection of variables. Additionally, the methodology should explicitly include the regression equations utilized in the study. It is essential to highlight the novelty of the equations developed by the author, their connection to the work of other researchers, and their relevance to the conducted research. Table 5 should be moved to the appendices.
6. I recommend placing greater emphasis on the obtained results and establishing connections with the research conducted by other authors. This will help highlight the novelty of the current study and its contribution to the existing body of knowledge.
7. However, the research should end with clear conclusions, not just Policy Implications.

