

# Review of: "Jung on the Meaning of Life"

P.R.B.R. do Vale<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Universidade Federal de Juiz de Fora

**Potential competing interests:** That is indeed a great work, the reading is fascinating and very affable. The author masterfully flies over a series of Jung's writings in search of propositions about the meaning of life. And from that collection he extracts a lot of theological, philosophical and epistemological perspectives that bear within a myriad of variations and even contradictions. As reader and researcher, I am obliged to acclaim the hard work and the large reading present in this paper, even though I missed the presence of the text *Septem Sermones ad Mortuos*. The article's sections are fine and perfectly intelligible, but I would use a temporal parting, I think it would be useful to understand how Jung's thoughts on the meaning (or maybe meanings) of life changed along his career. About the contradiction of perspectives, I can see that the author outlined a consistent explanation from the dialectical perspective of enantiodromia, that shows the author has a significant mastery on the themes of Analytical Psychology. The paper made me wonder if there are differences between the Jung's individual perspectives on the meaning of life and the perspective on meaning of life in the Analytical Psychology. *Id est*, is it possible to know -- or even to discriminate -- when (or where) Jung, as a person, as a human being who suffers under the glance of mortality and finity, is talking about the meaning of life and when Jung the psychologist and researcher is talking about the meaning of life? When or where is it personal and when or where is its scientific? I think it would be a nice theme for a new paper.

That is indeed a great work, the reading is fascinating and very affable. The author masterfully flies over a series of Jung's writings in search of propositions about the meaning of life. And from that collection he extracts a lot of theological, philosophical and epistemological perspectives that bear within a myriad of variations and even contradictions. As reader and researcher, I am obliged to acclaim the hard work and the large reading present in this paper, even though I missed the presence of the text *Septem Sermones ad Mortuos*. The article's sections are fine and perfectly intelligible, but I would use a temporal parting, I think it would be useful to understand how Jung's thoughts on the meaning (or maybe meanings) of life changed along his career. About the contradiction of perspectives, I can see that the author outlined a consistent explanation from the dialectical perspective of enantiodromia, that shows the author has a significant mastery on the themes of Analytical Psychology. The paper made me wonder if there are differences between the Jung's individual perspectives on the meaning of life and the perspective on meaning of life in the Analytical Psychology. *Id est*, is it possible to know -- or even to discriminate -- when (or where) Jung, as a person, as a human being who suffers under the glance of mortality and finity, is talking about the meaning of life and when Jung, the psychologist and researcher, is talking about the meaning of life? When or where is it personal and when or where is its scientific? I think it would be a nice theme for a new paper.