

Review of: "Investigation of Mechanical Properties of Sisal Fiber and Sugar Palm Fiber Reinforced Hybrid Composites"

T. K. Bello¹

¹ Ahmadu Bello University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Investigation of Mechanical Properties of Sisal Fiber and Sugar Palm Fiber Reinforced Hybrid Composites

Pg no	Section description	Comments
1	Abstract	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Preferably, the standards used for the testing should be stated, not the equipment used here. • When you use "better" to compare, it means it is just between two parameters; you can use "highest," "lowest," "best" when you are comparing many samples. It is also good to state the reproducibility or repeatability of the experiments (the number of times you repeated the experiments). • You do not need to state "specimen-2" since the description is already there. • There should be spacing between values and their respective units, eg: 42.461J/m should be 42.461 J/m.
2	Introduction	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • High stiffness and strength-to-weight ratios, use a comma to replace "and." • The sentence starting with "Especially" needs to be removed or paraphrased. • The structure of the introduction is haphazard; you need to link up each sentence with the next, • The amount of literature used in the entire work is too low; 10 refs is too low. That is why the write-up is quite shallow with respect to related works and discussions of results. • The second paragraph should be brought to the first paragraph.
3.	Materials and Methods	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The first sentence should be removed. It's more like repetition from the introduction. • I'll recommend you look at similar works and see how this section is presented. • It should be straight to the point, no need talking about the reasons why it should be done or why some materials are needed. • It should contain the material types/sources, equipment types/model nos, detailed methodology, and testing methods according to standards, etc.
4	Results and Discussions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Your results were presented in isolation of related works of literature. • Results presented in this section should be properly discussed with relevant literatures where they agree or deviate. • This section needs to be improved on.
4	5. Conclusion	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hard to make comments due to reasons raised at the results and discussions section.
5	References	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The references are too few. Please read more related works and update this write-up.

