

Review of: "Neuropsychiatric and Social Consequences of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder in Females"

Anahita Khorrami

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

I divide my comments in two parts.

Introduction

1. In this part main words point out the effect of environment and ACE. There is no robust description about social consequence of ADHD in childhood (female) compared to male. It is important to know a neurodevelopmental trends of social behavior of female children and its difference with male then mention adverse effect of this problems. It is important to prominence why ADHD if female ignored in literature more than male.
2. In other hand, it is important to mention why it is important to talk about neuropsychiatry of ADHD in female before discussion.
3. In general introduction is not a representative of Title.
4. It is important to mention the literature follow the DSM.

Discussion

1. The body of the discussion is poor for neuropsychiatry neuroscience literature has different articles about ADHD female and male it is not enough talk about comparison between neurotypical and ADHD.
2. The construct of article doesn't follow step by step from childhood to adulthood.
3. Please specified if ADHD is the reason for adverse effect in childhood and then the effects makes more problems for development or ADHD even in an appropriate family makes different problems for adolescence and adult hood.
4. It is better in summary or conclusion separate the neuropsychiatry and social consequence. It is important that the main goal of article do not follow neuropsychiatry. If the authors do not want to explain more about it, could be possible not to bring "neuropsychiatry in title".
5. The future direction has the same problems. You cannot talk about ADHD and neurodiversity without consider detailed information of biological literature specially sexual differences.