

# Review of: "Benefit distribution and stability analysis of enterprise digital servitization ecosystem from the perspective of value co-creation"

Viktoria Endrodi-Kovacs<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Corvinus University of Budapest

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The topic of DES is very actual and important. The article is well-established: it builds on a model and several, but one main theory. The abstract contains the main elements (there is a typo at the beginning: DES). The introduction is also well-built, but a little bit long to me (it depends on the aimed journal, too). One suggestion here: to this sentence 'Most of the existing literature studies the ecosystem' I would bring some literature examples.

The paper consists of relevant and recent references. However, 'Dalenogare et al. 2023' are presented a little bit more heavily than it should be in the introduction, but mainly in the literature part. Moreover, please bring sources for the free-rider effect related to the topic (there are some, which can be found at Google Scholar and/or Scopus). The model is well-established based on the relevant literature, I feel Table 2 is unnecessary since the same expressions are explained somewhere else in the text and it does not provide a novelty. Related to this, parameters should be indicated right after each equation (related to equation 1 and 2). The model establishment is too long (again it depends on the aimed journal), but I would shorten this part, since I think there are some overlaps in it. The analysis part is interesting; however, I would not calculate the benefit at yuan (I would introduce a benchmark for that) and it is not clear how the mentioned real companies are related to Manufacturer A, B and C (sometimes it is confusing). The conclusion is also well-written, above the average.

Minor notes: An English proofreading would have been nice (English can be improved and also you can find the typos, e.g. in Table 6). The paper also contains some overlaps and the repetitions (e.g. in case of parameters or content in 4.4), which should be avoided.

In sum, it depends on the aimed journal, I recommend this paper to be published and I truly believe that this paper will be accepted.