Review of: "Against Jump-Starting Western-Type Democracy on Africa's Socio-Political Tarmac"

Vasileios Adamidis[1]

1 Nottingham Trent University, United Kingdom

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Overall Impressions

The article presents a thoughtful and critical analysis of the suitability of Western-style democracy for African states. The author's approach to questioning the automatic adoption of Western democratic norms and advocating for a return to traditional African political structures is constructive. Through well-organised arguments and historical evidence, the author makes a relatively persuasive case for why African governance systems may benefit from greater alignment with indigenous practices.

The metaphor of "jump-starting" Western democracy is insightful and apt, effectively illustrating the challenges African nations face when implementing foreign governance models. This analogy helps readers understand the author's perspective on the potential incompatibility between Western democratic ideals and African sociopolitical realities. The discussion around the historical experiences of African political entities, like the Old Oyo Empire, and the examination of the unintended consequences of colonial boundaries also enrich the paper's depth.

Strengths

1.   Clear Argumentation and Metaphor: The author uses the metaphor of "jump-starting" a vehicle to illustrate the disconnect between Western-style democracy and African political reality, making a complex argument more accessible.

2.   Engagement with Traditional African Political Systems: The exploration of indigenous governance structures is well-researched and adds legitimacy to the author's argument. This perspective challenges Eurocentric narratives and promotes a broader understanding of governance.

3.   Critique of Neocolonial Influences: The author's critical stance on neocolonialism provides valuable context for understanding the contemporary political struggles in African states. This helps frame the conversation about democratization in Africa beyond mere political structures to encompass issues of identity and autonomy.

4.   Call for Decolonisation of Governance Models: The article's emphasis on the need for decolonization resonates with contemporary scholarly debates and promotes intellectual independence for African nations.

Suggested Improvements

While the article has notable strengths, several areas could benefit from further refinement or additional sources to enhance the argument:

1. Integration of Comparative Examples: The article could be strengthened by comparing African challenges to democratization with those faced by other regions attempting to implement Western democracy. This would show that Africa's experience is not isolated and could highlight unique African strengths in governance.

2. Clarify the Concept of "Epistemicide": The term "epistemicide" is powerful, but its meaning and implications could be expanded. Discussing it more thoroughly would reinforce its relevance to the broader argument.

3. Incorporate Counterpoints to Strengthen Argument: While the article convincingly argues for indigenous governance, addressing potential benefits of certain democratic elements (such as citizen participation or the rule of law) could enhance the argument by acknowledging nuances.

4. Consider Further Theoretical Support: To provide a more comprehensive scholarly foundation, consulting additional literature on populism and democracy's adaptability across diverse societies would be valuable. For instance, referring to:

o "Populism in Power? A Reconsideration of the Athenian Demokratia of the Late Fifth Century BCE" (2022, Journal of Ancient Civilizations) for a historical lens on democratic adaptability,

o "Democracy, Populism, and the Rule of Law: A Reconsideration of Their Interconnectedness" (2021, Politics SAGE), which explores the interactions between democracy, populism, and legal frameworks, and

o "Populism and the Rule of Recognition: Challenging the Foundations of Democratic Legal Systems" (2021, Populism) for insight into how populist movements influence democratic legal structures.

5. Refine Language for Consistency and Clarity: Some sections would benefit from minor grammatical adjustments to improve readability. Clearer transitions between sections on colonial history, traditional systems, and democracy's limitations in Africa would ensure smoother narrative flow.

Conclusion

This article makes a good contribution to discussions about the future of African governance. Its emphasis on the challenges of Western democracy and the value of indigenous systems adds a unique perspective to political theory. By addressing the suggested areas for improvement, the article could present an even more nuanced and robust argument. Overall, this is a promising piece with significant relevance to both African political studies and broader discussions on post-colonial governance.