

Review of: "'Sex" and "gender" as constitutional categories — Critical notes from iusfeminist legal dogmatics"

Milica Mihaljević¹

¹ Institute of Croatian Language and Linguistics

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

I agree with all the previous reviewers. The topic is relevant and important for many disciplines (I am a linguist and in linguistics it is also a very important topic) but the paper is too short and lacks argumentation and examples. It would be interesting to quote different legal documents (or at least parts of the *Manual of Spanish Constitutional Law with gender perspective*) and compare the terminology used in them containing elements *sex* and *gender* (multiword terms with these elements) or referring to men or women. It is clear in theory that gender is a social category and sex is a biological category. However, when used in texts both terms are often used as synonyms. In addition, the paper doesn't refer to non-binary persons, only to men and women. It would also be interesting to distinguish between gender bias and stereotypes (not in theory but with examples from texts). It would be useful if you had a paragraph on the corpus which is analyzed (specific documents or only Manual, if so at least say a few words about it for readers who are not familiar with this manual) and from which the conclusions are drawn as well as the methodology which is used in your research. In this version of the paper we have to believe the author but do not know where the conclusions came from. It would also help the reader if in the Conclusion the author would give short answer to the questions posed in the Contextualisation paragraph. In this form the paper looks more like an essay than a scientific paper.