

Review of: "Recent Trends and Techniques in Landslide Hazard Assessment"

Berrah Yacine¹

¹ Centre Universitaire de Tebessa

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Regarding the manuscript titled "Recent Trends and Techniques in Landslide Hazard Assessment" recently submitted to the QIEOS journal, we appreciate the effort invested by the authors in preparing and submitting the work to the journal. However, after careful consideration and review, I regret to inform you that I am unable to accept the manuscript for publication.

The decision is based on a major concern identified during the review process. Firstly, the **aims** and **objectives** of the study presented in your manuscript lack clarity and specificity. It is essential for research articles to clearly outline the research questions, objectives, and hypotheses to guide the study and allow readers to understand the **purpose** and significance of the work. The absence of clear aims in the manuscript impedes its ability to contribute meaningfully to the existing body of knowledge in the landslide hazard field.

Additionally, the **materials presented** in the manuscript are insufficient to support the claims and conclusions drawn. A comprehensive research article should provide adequate detail, data, and analysis to substantiate its findings and ensure the validity and reliability of the results. The lack of sufficient material in the manuscript undermines its credibility and limits its potential impact on the scientific community.

While we recognize the effort invested in this research endeavor, we believe that the current manuscript does not meet the standards required for publication in the journal.

Sincerely.