

Review of: "What is the place of naturalistic philosophy in the doing of science? Implications for the teaching of science"

Nicholas Maxwell¹

¹ University College London, University of London

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The revised version of this article fails to take into account that science, rigorously pursued, would put aim-oriented empiricism into practice which involves subjecting metaphysical assumptions of science to sustained imaginative and critical scrutiny in an attempt to improve them. Science, pursued in this rigorous way, would be authentic natural philosophy: see my "In Praise of Natural Philosophy", 2017. Although the authors of this revised version of their article refer to my book, they ignore the basic argument of the book: science needs to be transformed so that it puts aim-oriented empiricism into practice and becomes a modern version of authentic natural philosophy, a synthesis of science, metaphysics, philosophy, methodology, and epistemology.