

# Review of: "Reflections on Bordering, Micropolitics and Everyday Life in Peacebuilding Processes: Revisiting the Lingering Legacy of the 1949 Armistice Agreements"

Joxerramon Bengoetxea<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of the Basque Country UPV/EHU

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The article is well written and argued. The resources and references are also relevant. I have two comments or suggestions:

1. The argument is interesting, but the emphasis on the different methodologies discussed in the literature review may show some confusion as to the most suitable approach. Perhaps the structure of the article could be rearranged to start, after the introduction, with section two which provides the historical background, introduces the actors and the main institutions that were created. After this historical context, the literature review would then offer some social theories and their corresponding methodological approaches to explain or help understand the underlying conflicts.
2. The emphasis on territory (borderscapes and borderlands) is understandable in any conflict and any attempt at transforming the conflict; but the actors and even the key social institutions seem to be missing from the analysis. Even when underlining the relevance of the local analysis, and the autoethnographical approach (which could also do with a little more description of the details - thick description), the actors are important, but they seem to be missing or just coincidental.