

Review of: ""Sex" and "gender" as constitutional categories — Critical notes from iusfeminist legal dogmatics"

L. J. Theo¹

¹ Cape Peninsula University of Technology

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Although the issues addressed are important and worthy of exploration (and possibly worthy of the conclusions drawn), the article seems less like an argument at this early stage and more like a statement of aims and goals, then a statement of conclusions that summarise a range of studies concluded elsewhere. Perhaps this is intended as an expanded subject-list for a literature review?

The research questions are all worthy as article material, but there are too many of them to do justice to with any depth in one publication. Further, although interdisciplinarity is certainly to be applauded, the range of epistemes required for these questions seems a stretch for a single article.

Perhaps the author could benefit from narrowing down the exploration to one subject. For example, sex and gender construction in individual legal contexts, or gender-focus in the teaching of law, or the impact of recent social movements on gender and sexuality to the ways in which the law is being practised in specific spheres, or how mainstreaming is impacting certain fields within law