

Review of: "A Bibliometric Review of CSR in China Based on CNKI Database: 2006-2022"

Edina Molnár¹

1 University of Debrecen

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

FIELD OF STUDY:

Title: A Bibliometric Review of CSR in China Based on CNKI Database: 2006-2022.

- · The topic is relevant and scholarly.
- Authors are advised to write 'CSR' and 'CNKI' full to avoid confusing the readers.

ABSTRACT

The abstract is well written. However, a comprehensive bibliometric review should create several types of networks, such as co-citation and co-authorship, citation of articles, institutions and countries/regions and should not rely on co-occurrence of keywords alone. The authors should consider this.

SEARCH PLATFORMS:

China Network database has been defined as the source database.

- Authors to briefly explain why this platform and not others.
- The combination of one or more platforms for mining scientific data can result in more inclusive bibliometric analysis.
 Authors to consider additional data search platforms such as Statista.

MINING OF BIBLIOGRAPHIC DATA:

Advanced search mode of China Knowledge Network was used.

- Search criteria: CSR was used as the keyword. For more assertive results, Authors are advised to consider using the Boolean expressions "AND" or "OR" to combine different expressions and increase the theme's specification so as to improve the accuracy and reliability of the search results.
- Review of search results: Out of 2694 Chinese literature retrieved, a review was done which resulted in 2628 articles
 for bibliometric analysis. This is good.

RESEARCH TREND ANALYSIS

Authors are advised to use **EXACT FIGURES** rather than general terms like;



- 'the average annual number of publications has been around 154 since 2006.'
- 'the number of articles published increased year by year' (show exact figures).
- '2010 was significantly lower than that in 2009' (show the figures).
- 'the number of articles published remained at ahigh level'.

PROCESSING OF THE RESULTS:

Type of Bibliometric analysis tool used: CiteSpace software was used. One other extra tool such as Bibliometrix or VOSviewer would have provided a more comparative outcome.

DATA ANALYSIS

Bibliometric parameters

PARAMETER	USED	NOT USED
Keywords	•	
Authors		•
Institutions		•
Trends of publications	•	
Journals	(Academic journals)	
Most Searched areas	•	
Articles	•	
Countries		(Only China used)

Bibliometric Networks



NETWORK	USED	NOT USED	
Citations(Authors, articles, journals and countries)		•	
Co-citations:(Authors, articles and journals)		•	
Co-authors: (Authors and countries			
Mixed(Specify)			
RECOMMENDATION: Bibliometric networks referring to articles, authors, journals and institutions, based on citation, co-citation, co-authorship, and bibliographic coupling should be generated.			

Mapping of Findings



MAPPING	AVAILABLE	UNAVAILABLE
Network authors, institutions and countries		•
Overall ranking of the most cited articles		•
Analysis and review of the selected articles	•	
Cluster analysis	•	
Keyword analysis	•	
Timeline summary	•	
Analysis of interactions	(Need improvement)	
Analysis of gaps		•

RECOMMENDATION:

Cluster analysis:

- Explain if there is any significance in the size of the circles and the significance of different colors displayed.
- Analysis of interactions should be provided
- A visual analysis of gaps should be provided

GRAMMAR

- Punctuation use colon instead of full stop to introduce a list e.g The changes in the number of publications and the chronological pattern are as follows:
- 5.2. Research outlook: Completely Rephrase the first two paragraphs.
- Avoid /delete redundant words/phrases or clauses e.g. <u>in terms of the total volume of literature</u>, the average annual number of publications has been around 154 since 2006.'

VERDICT: Accept with revision.

