

Peer Review

Review of: "Sociodemographic, Clinical, and Psychological Barriers to Medication Compliance: The Case of Patients with Musculoskeletal Disorders in Greece"

Isabel Fernandes¹

1. Instituto Politécnico da Guarda, Portugal

Summary – Objective.

The background could be more developed.

They should not include the name of the instruments used with just acronyms because it is not clear what they are about. They must describe in full.

Don't put keywords. They include some acronyms to describe.

They do not cite authors well, especially in direct quotations.

Objective bibliographic review. They conclude with the objective of the study.

Methodology – Well outlined. They set inclusion and exclusion criteria. Regarding the evaluation instruments used, they provide a brief description, operationalization and objective.

In terms of ethical issues, I consider that it is explicit, although the data relating to signing the informed consent is mentioned.

When discussing the results, they repeat the objective.

It is not very developed with results from other authors. If there are no more studies in this field, they should have included this as a limitation of this study.

In the discussion they use only 4 bibliographic references to compare results, one from 2023, 2024, 2013 and 2017.

In terms of bibliographic references, they use 21, of which only 4 are dated after 2020. It also includes two references from 1979, one from 1985 and another from 1986, which are very old. Although they may be relevant, I think there are too many references with such old dates.

Declarations

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.