

Review of: "Accelerated Motion Towards Relativistic Velocities Described by Newtonian Mechanics"

Grzegorz Marcin Koczan¹

¹ Warsaw University of Life Sciences

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The author honestly did not follow my comments and therefore collects one star each - not only from me. I would give 0 stars if I could, but I can't.

The point is simple: science is supposed to be honest, and this article is manipulation.

Let's see this on the example of table 1 that I proposed:

1) $d(t)$ is supposed to be identical to Newton's - this is a lie, because the expression is different from (1a). Similarly, the others.

2) If we squint and consider that "Newton" means "Author's Model", it is a lie that both of time dilations are "Identical" in case the clock postulate.

THANK YOU FOR "Acknowledgments" TO THE AUTHOR, BUT HE DID NOT IMPLEMENT MY COMMENTS IN THE WAY I POSTED.

Knowing the Pythagorean theorem and calculating the derivative of the root function is not enough to write an article.