

# Review of: "Negative and Extrapositive Attitudes Towards Cold-Blooded Tetrapods Among Students of the Secondary Education Course: Ethical and Normative Aspects"

James Brereton<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Sparsholt College

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Dear Authors,

Thank you for submitting this manuscript that explores the attitudes of students toward reptiles. This is an interesting study that could have some potential applications in terms of education.

There are some revisions required in order to consider this manuscript for publication. I have included specific feedback on the Word document version of the manuscript; please find it attached. Make sure that any changes to the manuscript are shown using highlighted text or tracked changes. Additionally, please address the following key areas when making revisions:

1. Ethics and consent. It is essential that the ethics review process is clearly stated and that consent was clearly provided by all respondents. Please ensure this information is clearly provided in the work.
2. Survey. The survey needs to be clearly included in the work. It should be noted that some of the questions are very biased in their wording. The effects of this need to be considered clearly in the discussion section.
3. Methods. Please clearly explain how students were sampled, what they were briefed on, and how the survey was run (was it online, on paper)? This information needs to be explained to a level that the work could be repeated by others.
4. Books. The explanation of the books is currently rather confused. How did you analyse the books? What information did you pull from each? Please quantify what you actually pulled from each of these resources, as it is not clear in the work. Please explain why these books specifically.
5. Scientific terminology. Snakes are venomous, not poisonous. If you asked the students how many snakes are poisonous, the correct answer is 0. Please ensure scientific names are used correctly and consistently throughout the work.
6. Justification. Please explain clearly why this study is needed.

With these revisions, the work should be in a stronger position overall.