

Review of: "Additive and Multiplicative Operations on Set of Polygonal Numbers"

Prasanna Mishra¹

¹ Scientific Analysis Group

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

I have observed the following points

1. The formula for n -th k -gonal number lacks novelty.

This paper presents an alternate formulation for the generation of n -th k -gonal numbers, which is

$$P(k, n) = \frac{n}{2} [(k-3)(n-1) + (n+1)]$$

There is not much novelty in this formula. This formula is a direct rearrangement of terms of the formula available in the literature. Please see the below

$$P(k, n) = (k-2) \frac{n(n-1)}{2} + n = \frac{n}{2} [(k-2)(n-1) + 2] = \frac{n}{2} [(k-3)(n-1) + (n+1)]$$

2. The iterative computation is costlier than the direct computation

The formula is sufficient for generating k -gonal numbers with complexity $O(\log k (\log n)^2)$. There is no need to use the recursive formula. I compute the complexity of the iterative computation

$$(n+1, k) = (n, k) + T_n,$$

where T_n is the n -th triangular number given as $T_n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$.

Let the computation required to compute $P(k, n)$ be $t_{k,n}$. $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ can be computed roughly in $(\log n)^2$ operations.

Therefore, we have,

$$t_{k,n+1} = t_{k,n} + (\log n)^2$$

Iterating this recurrence, we get

$$t_{k,n} = O((\log n)^2).$$

Thus, iteration is costlier than the direct computation.

3. The significance of (,) for negative is not given in the submission.

In view of the above, I conclude that the work lacks novelty, contribution, motivation, and usefulness. The tables given are for small numbers. Much larger tables may be generated from software programs within seconds.