

Review of: "Factors influencing women's decision choosing a public or private health facility for tuberculosis (TB) services: An analysis of Nepal demographic and health survey data 2016"

Siti Romaino Mohd Nor

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Thank you for allowing me to review this manuscript. Some my comments to increase the quality of the paper.

1. The title should be simplified. It was too long.
2. Abstract; The objective does not tally with stated in the last paragraph in the background. Please make it a standard objective. Results: 95% CI should be written in each stated variable. There were only stated for women having good TB knowledge, other than that only stated CI.
3. Background: The citations 1-16, there were too old references. 6/16 having more than 15 years of publications. The last paragraph talks about the objective, but it does not tally with the objective stated in the abstract.
4. Sampling and sample size: suggested to include a flow chart regarding the identified sample size (13089) until the final sample (10119). Why did you choose women aged 15-49, based on what criteria? The time frame for data collection should be mentioned in the manuscript.
5. Independent variable: Knowledge was divided into 3 categories, based on what criteria and how it was divided?
6. Results: Descriptive analysis, suggested to state the percentage (%) after one-third, two-thirds etc.

General; You are using the data from 2016, but will be published in 2023, which means that 7 years ago. Is it worth it to publish and report the factors influencing women's decisions because it was considered outdated, and might change a lot of information in terms of family size, ecological region, media exposure, etc.