

## Review of: "Health Outcome and Economic Growth: The Case of Malaria in Nigeria"

Dr. Salma Begum<sup>1</sup>

1 Jain University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

- 1. The title of the paper is focused on health outcomes; however, the author has tested health expenditure and economic growth.
- 2. The paper lacks conceptual clarity; there is a difference between health outcomes and health expenditure. Further, considering CHE as current health expenditure, does it include public health expenditure or private health expenditure? The author should clearly mention that.
- 3. The articulation of the sentences is complex and does not convey the intentions of the author clearly.
- 4. The objective of the current study is not clear. The author should rework the aim and provide the research methodology on how it was attained in the study.
- 5. The variables considered for the study require justification. RGDP as a proxy for economic growth has been considered as the dependent variable; however, to capture health outcomes, current health expenditure, the incidence of Malaria, and enrolment in secondary education are considered. There is no clarity on why enrolment is considered.
- 6. The author has used several econometric analysis tools: coefficient correlation, Unit root test, ARDL. The author should revisit the need for all the tests relevant to the study.
- 7. The result of CHC and RGDP shows a negative relationship. The interpretation reflects that a 1 unit increase in current health expenditure reduces the real GDP of Nigeria by 1.74. The author should justify the result.
- 8. In suggestions, the author emphasized higher government health expenditure. How did the author justify this?
- 9. There have been several studies conducted on the same topic; the author should justify the value addition and implications of the current study.
- 10. The author should add recent literature on the same topic and use the latest data to support the same.