

Review of: "Controlling Offenses on Health Care Personnel through Environmental Design of Healthcare Environments"

Jamaludin Muhamad¹

1 Universiti Teknologi Mara

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Abstract: The author sufficiently includes the research issue, goals/objectives, methods, findings, and conclusions.

Introduction: The research backgrounds are transparent, but the author needs to delve deeper into the workplace violence experienced by doctors and healthcare personnel. It is essential to emphasize the relevance of the workspace when doctors or health personnel provide services. The study's objectives/aims/purposes lack emphasis on the workspace and are presented more broadly.

Literature Review: The current research adequately demonstrates its relation to previous research but lacks total concentration on emphasizing the emergency department and critical care area. The literature review presented in this article is sufficient; however, the discussion on the issues and the space in which the research is done needs to be more in-depth.

Methodology and Methods: The research method described is straightforward, but it needs to explicitly state that the author conducted this qualitative research through interviews, observations, and document analysis. Field notes and the researcher's diary are the primary support in confirming the fieldwork carried out in qualitative research.

Results and findings: The analysis clearly examines the results, but it does not include findings from the interviews. The results obtained from the interviews need to be analysed, and the coding or keywords received need to be tested through the field from cross-validation so that the results obtained achieve the study's objectives. The discussions and related findings address how to deal with the issues and problems encountered. Additionally, it provides a context in which the current research coordinates to achieve the study's objectives.

Conclusions: The conclusions produced are consistent with the objectives discussed. The same goes for the recommendations stated following the results obtained and achieving the study's objectives.