

# Decay Characteristics of Neutron Excess Silicon Nuclei

Joseph Bevelacqua

**Funding:** The author(s) received no specific funding for this work.

**Potential competing interests:** The author(s) declared that no potential competing interests exist.

## Abstract

In neutron star mergers, neutron excess nuclei and the r-process are important factors governing the production of heavier nuclear systems. A single-particle model evaluation of silicon nuclei suggests that the heaviest  $Z = 14$  nucleus will have mass 54 with filling of the  $1f_{5/2}$  neutron shell.  $A = 43 - 54$  silicon isotopes have limited experimental half-life data, but the model predicts beta decay half-lives in the range of 0.442 – 8.06 ms. Based on previous calculations for  $Z = 9 - 13$ , 20, 26, and 30 systems and comparisons to the  $^{43}\text{Si}$ ,  $^{44}\text{Si}$ ,  $^{46}\text{Si}$ , and  $^{48}\text{Si}$  calculations, summarized in the Japanese Nuclear Data Compilation, the single-particle model results likely overestimate the half-lives of  $A = 43 - 54$  neutron excess silicon nuclei.

## 1.0 Introduction

The nucleosynthesis of heavy elements occurs by three basic processes that add protons or neutrons to a nuclear system<sup>1,2</sup>. The p-process adds protons and the s- or slow process and r- or rapid process adds neutrons. Capture of protons by nuclear systems produces predominantly proton-rich nuclei that tend to decay by positron emission and electron capture<sup>1,2</sup>. Neutron capture creates neutron-rich nuclei, and the resulting nuclear systems depend upon the rate of neutron addition and the beta decay rates of the residual nuclei.

In the s-process neutron capture chain, the time between successive neutron captures is sufficiently long for the product nucleus to beta decay to a stable system. Within the r-process, the time between neutron captures is too short to permit decays except for very rapid beta transitions. Therefore, the r-process must occur in an environment that has a high density of neutrons. The s-process typically occurs in red giant stars. The r-process occurs in a variety of astronomical events, including supernovae explosions and stellar mergers.

Binary neutron star or neutron star and stellar-mass black hole mergers can form a massive rotating torus around a spinning black hole<sup>1</sup>. The matter ejected from these structures and from supernovae explosions is an important source of rapid neutron capture (r-process) nucleosynthesis<sup>1</sup>. Fully understanding the r-process requires knowledge of the properties of neutron excess nuclei involved in creating heavy nuclear systems. Unfortunately, the majority of these neutron excess systems have never been studied<sup>2</sup>.

Closing this knowledge gap was a motivation for funding facilities for rare-isotope beams (FRIB) constructed at research facilities located around the world. These facilities are located at RIKEN (Japan)<sup>3,4</sup>, GSI (Germany)<sup>5</sup>, and Michigan State University (US)<sup>6</sup>. The FRIB facilities enable a new class of experiments to determine the physical properties needed by theoretical models to determine the structure of unstable neutron excess nuclei. Theoretical studies

would complement the forthcoming experiments that will provide critical information on the unstable nuclei that must be understood in order to explain nuclear abundances observed in the universe<sup>2</sup>. In particular, the study of neutron excess systems and their decay properties are significant considerations in understanding the r-process, and its importance in producing the observed elements in the universe.

The study of neutron excess systems is also important for studying nuclear decay properties, nuclear structure under extreme conditions, and nuclear reaction mechanisms. Existing theoretical models have not been extensively applied to many of these neutron excess nuclei.

This paper attempts to partially fill the void by calculating the decay properties of neutron excess systems that are important in nucleosynthesis. These theoretical studies should also assist in planning future experiments associated with neutron excess systems that are far removed from the line of stability.

Neutron excess nuclei that merit study occur throughout the periodic table<sup>2-7</sup> including nuclei in the  $Z \leq 32$  range<sup>7</sup>. Although neutron excess nuclei occur throughout the periodic table, this paper focuses on silicon systems as part of a continuing investigation of neutron excess nuclei that are of potential astrophysical significance<sup>8-15</sup>. Previous publications addressed neutron excess calcium<sup>8</sup>, iron<sup>9</sup>, fluorine<sup>10</sup>, zinc<sup>11</sup>, neon<sup>12</sup>, sodium<sup>13</sup>, magnesium<sup>14</sup>, and aluminum<sup>15</sup> systems.

The study of light nuclear systems, including silicon, is important for a comprehensive astrophysical interpretation of nucleosynthesis. For example, Terasawa et al.<sup>16</sup> studied the role of light neutron-rich nuclei during r-process nucleosynthesis in supernovae. Specifically, Ref. 16 noted that light neutron excess systems can significantly affect the heavy-element abundances.

Recent studies emphasize the importance of studying silicon isotopes as well as their astrophysical significance<sup>16-20</sup>. These studies include both theoretical as well as experimental efforts.

The structure of the neutron excess silicon isotopes<sup>36,38,40</sup>Si was evaluated by single-neutron and single-proton knockout reactions at intermediate energies<sup>16</sup>. Ref. 16 constructed level schemes for<sup>35,37,39</sup>Si and compared the associated cross sections to the predictions of an eikonal model in conjunction with large-scale shell-model calculations.

<sup>29</sup>Si was studied using heavy-ion reactions employing high-resolution  $\gamma$ -ray detectors<sup>17</sup>. High-spin states of the nucleus were populated using  $^{18}\text{O}(^{16}\text{O}, \alpha n)$  and  $^{18}\text{O}(^{13}\text{C}, 2n)$  reactions at  $E_{\text{ab}} = 30\text{--}34$  MeV. The calculations were also performed for <sup>28</sup>Si and <sup>30</sup>Si. For <sup>28</sup>Si, calculations generally reproduce most of the deformed structures.

Ref. 18 utilized the shell model to calculate the energy levels of<sup>30</sup>Si and <sup>31</sup>Si. The calculated energy spectrum is in reasonable agreement with the available experimental data.

Ref. 19 determined proton and neutron single-particle energies of silicon isotopes with neutron numbers from 12 to 28. The Ref. 19 approach utilized the joint evaluation of stripping and pick-up reaction data and excited state decay schemes of neighboring nuclei. The calculations suggest weakening of the  $N = 28$  shell closure and demonstrated evolution of bubble-like structure of the proton density distributions in neutron-rich silicon isotopes.

Brown<sup>20</sup> addressed the new physics related to the properties of nuclei near drip lines that will be studied by the next generation of rare-isotope beam experiments. These include the neutron excess <sup>42</sup>Si system. This nucleus and associated experiments involving neutron excess nuclei will have an impact on understanding the evolution of nuclear structure as the neutron drip line is approached. Ref. 20 includes an evaluation of shell gaps, single-particle properties, islands of inversion, collectivity, neutron decay, and neutron halos.

Refs. 16-20 have both theoretical nuclear physics as well as astrophysical importance in predicting the production of neutron excess silicon nuclei. The continuing interest in neutron excess systems suggests the importance of evaluating silicon systems considerably heavier than those investigated in Refs. 16 – 20. In particular, this paper investigates  $^{22}\text{Si}$  –  $^{54}\text{Si}$  that span a much greater range than investigated in previous calculations.

## 2.0 Computational Methodology

A variety of models could be applied to the investigation of neutron excess nuclei. These vary in sophistication, but the proposed model utilizes a basic single-particle approach. This is a reasonable first step because there are uncertainties in the nuclear potential that likely are more significant than the limitations introduced by a single-particle approach.

Since the method for calculating single-particle energies in a spherically symmetric potential is well-established only salient features are provided. The model used to describe the particle plus core system represents an application of the standard method of Lukasiak and Sobiczewski<sup>21</sup> and Petrovich et. al.<sup>22</sup>.

The binding energy  $E_{\text{NLSJ}}$  of a particle in the field of a nuclear core is obtained by solving the radial Schrödinger Equation

$$\left[ \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} \left( \frac{d^2}{dr^2} - \frac{L(L+1)}{r^2} \right) - E_{\text{NLSJ}} - V_{\text{LSJ}}(r) \right] U_{\text{NLSJ}}(r) = 0(1)$$

where  $r$  is the radial coordinate defining the relative motion of the nuclear core and the particle;  $V_{\text{LSJ}}(r)$  is the model interaction;  $E_{\text{NLSJ}}$  is the core plus particle binding energy;  $U_{\text{NLSJ}}(r)$  is the radial wave function; and  $L$ ,  $S$ , and  $J$  are the orbital, spin, and total angular momentum quantum numbers, respectively.  $N$  is the radial quantum number, and  $\mu$  is the reduced mass.

The method of searching for  $E_{\text{NLSJ}}$  is provided by Brown, Gunn, and Gould<sup>23</sup>, and the methodology of Ref. 24 is utilized to obtain a converged solution. Refs. 8 – 15 and 22 provide a more complete description of the model, its numerical solution, and further definition of the individual terms appearing in Eq. 1.

## 3.0 Nuclear Interaction

Nuclear stability with respect to alpha decay, beta decay, positron decay, and electron capture is addressed using the method previously published by the author and coworkers<sup>8-15, 22</sup> that is similar to the approach of Ref. 25. The single-particle level spectrum is generated using a Woods-Saxon potential. Parameters of the potential are obtained from a fit to the single-particle energy levels in  $^{209}\text{Pb}$  and  $^{209}\text{Bi}$  performed by Rost<sup>26</sup>. The central potential strength of the Rost interaction<sup>26</sup> has a standard form and can be explicitly defined as

$$V_0 = 51.6 \left[ 1 \pm 0.73 \frac{N-Z}{A} \right] (2)$$

where the upper (lower) sign applies to protons (neutrons). The remaining parameters were held constant and are given by Rost<sup>26</sup>:  $r_0 = 1.262$  (1.295) fm,  $r_{\text{so}} = 0.908$  (1.194) fm,  $a = 0.70$  (0.70) fm, and  $\gamma = 17.5$  (28.2) for protons (neutrons)<sup>22,26</sup>. The spin-orbit interaction strength  $V_{\text{so}}$  is related to  $\gamma$  by the relationship<sup>26</sup>:

$$V_{so} = \frac{\gamma V_0}{180} (3)$$

The scaling relationships of Eqs. 2 and 3 yield reasonable fits to observed single-particles levels in  $^{120}\text{Sn}$  and  $^{138}\text{Ba}$ . The pairing correction term of Blomqvist and Wahlborn<sup>27</sup> is used in the calculations presented herein. The pairing correction improves the predicted energies of occupied levels in  $^{120}\text{Sn}$ ,  $^{138}\text{Ba}$ , and  $^{208}\text{Pb}$ <sup>22</sup>.

When applied to specific nuclei, this methodology requires modification. For example, Ray and Hodgson<sup>28</sup> note that  $^{40}\text{Ca}$  and  $^{48}\text{Ca}$  require different potentials to properly fit their single-particle level structure. Schwierz, Wiedenhöver, and Volya<sup>29</sup> also investigated  $^{40}\text{Ca}$  and  $^{48}\text{Ca}$  and noted that a proper fit to the single-particle levels required a different potential for each energy level. Difficulties in the selection of an appropriate potential is an additional motivation for the utilization of a single-particle model, and was noted in studies of neutron excess calcium<sup>8</sup>, iron<sup>9</sup>, fluorine<sup>10</sup>, zinc<sup>11</sup>, neon<sup>12</sup>, and sodium<sup>13</sup>, magnesium<sup>14</sup>, and aluminum<sup>15</sup> nuclei. Similar issues also apply to silicon systems.

In view of the results of Refs. 28 and 29, the following modification is made to obtain the silicon potential strength ( $\lambda$ ):

$$V_A = 51.6\lambda \left[ 1 \pm 0.73 \frac{N-Z}{A} \right] [1 \pm a(A)] \text{MeV} (4)$$

where  $\lambda$  is a potential strength multiplier that is selected to ensure consistency with available data, and  $a(A)$  is a constant that is introduced to account for the variations in potential strength with  $A$ <sup>28,29</sup>. In previous neutron excess nuclei calculations for calcium<sup>8</sup>, iron<sup>9</sup>, and zinc<sup>11</sup>, a value of  $\lambda = 1.0$  was utilized. A  $\lambda$  value of 1.5 for fluorine<sup>10</sup>, neon<sup>12</sup>, sodium<sup>13</sup>, magnesium<sup>14</sup>, and aluminum<sup>15</sup> was determined by the available experimental data<sup>30-32</sup>. Given the proximity to the  $A = 12 - 15$  systems, a value of  $\lambda = 1.5$  is also utilized for silicon. Since the paper's primary purpose is investigation of the neutron excess nuclei, determining a common  $a(A)$  value for the heaviest silicon systems is desirable.

The heaviest mass  $A = 14$  isotope<sup>30-32</sup> suggested experimentally is  $^{42}\text{Si}$ . Given the expected order of energy levels,  $^{42}\text{Si}$  would have a  $1f_{7/2}$  neutron single-particle level structure. Isotopes heavier than  $^{42}\text{Si}$  would require filling of the more weakly bound  $2p_{3/2}$ ,  $2p_{1/2}$ , and  $1f_{5/2}$  neutron single-particle levels. The possibility of bound silicon isotopes with  $A \geq 43$  is addressed in subsequent discussion. Calculations incorporated into the Japanese nuclear data compilation<sup>32</sup> provide calculated half-lives for  $^{43}\text{Si}$ ,  $^{44}\text{Si}$ ,  $^{46}\text{Si}$ , and  $^{48}\text{Si}$ .

## 4.0 Calculation of Half-Lives

Using Eq. 4, single-particle levels are calculated for  $A \geq 22$  silicon isotopes.  $A \geq 22$  silicon nuclei were evaluated for stability with respect to alpha decay, beta decay, positron decay, and electron capture. These calculations were performed to ensure that the nuclear structure contained no interloping states or structural defects, and that any decay modes in conflict with data were identified.

The decay modes and half-lives of  $54 \geq A \geq 22$  silicon isotopes are summarized in Table 1, and compared to available data<sup>30-32</sup> and calculations incorporated in the Japanese data compilation<sup>32</sup>. The alpha decay energies are calculated using the relationship based on Ref. 33.

$$Q_\alpha = 28.3 \text{MeV} - 2S_n - 2S_p (5)$$

where  $S_n$  and  $S_p$  are the binding energies of the last occupied neutron and proton single-particle levels, respectively. Alpha decay half-lives can be estimated from  $Q_\alpha$  using standard relationships<sup>21</sup>. Fortunately, no alpha decay modes occurred in the Table 1 summary of  $54 \geq A \geq 22$  silicon isotope decay properties.

The beta decay half-lives are determined following the log ft methodology of Wong<sup>23</sup>. Allowed (first forbidden) transition half-lives were derived using the values of  $\log ft = 5$  (8). Given the uncertainties in the calculated level energies, second and higher order forbidden transitions were not determined. Positron and electron capture half-lives were determined following the approach of Ref. 21.

## 5.0 Model Issues

Spherical single-particle energy level calculations produce reasonable results for alpha, beta, positron, and electron capture transitions<sup>8-15, 25-29</sup>. Neutron excess silicon isotopes have the potential to decay via neutron emission modes. However, these decays have not been observed in silicon<sup>30-32</sup>. The single-particle model is not the best approach for neutron emission calculations, and these decay modes are not included in this paper. Therefore, the results for the heaviest neutron excess silicon nuclei only include the alpha decay, beta decay, positron decay, and electron capture modes. Except as noted previously, the single-particle model should provide reasonable results for the systems considered in the paper.

## 6.0 Results and Discussion

Using Eq. 4, the  $a(A)$  value was varied in increments of 0.0001 to assess the applicability of the proposed model to predict the decay properties of most  $54 \geq A \geq 22$  silicon isotopes. When a system half-life deviated from the trends in neighboring nuclei, an increment of 0.00001 was utilized. In view of uncertainties in the model and associated interaction, a smaller increment was not deemed to be justified for most silicon systems.

The issues associated with fitting all calcium, iron, fluorine, zinc, neon, sodium, magnesium, and aluminum nuclei with a single potential<sup>28,29</sup> were noted in Refs. 8-15. These considerations are also applicable to the silicon systems considered in this paper.

Table 1 summarizes the complete set of  $54 \geq A \geq 22$  silicon isotopes considered in this paper. The lighter  $54 \geq A \geq 22$  silicon isotopes fill the  $1p_{1/2}$  ( $^{22}\text{Si}$ )  $1d_{5/2}$  ( $^{23}\text{Si} - ^{28}\text{Si}$ ),  $2s_{1/2}$  ( $^{29}\text{Si} - ^{30}\text{Si}$ ),  $1d_{3/2}$  ( $^{31}\text{Si} - ^{34}\text{Si}$ ), and  $1f_{7/2}$  ( $^{35}\text{Si} - ^{42}\text{Si}$ ) neutron single-particle levels. These systems are the heaviest silicon systems noted in Ref. 30 - 32 that have been observed experimentally. Given the extrapolation used in formulating the single-particle potential of Eq. 4, the results become more uncertain due to the paucity of data for  $A > 42$  silicon isotopes. The heavier  $54 \geq A \geq 22$  silicon isotopes that fill the  $2p_{3/2}$ ,  $2p_{1/2}$ , and  $1f_{5/2}$  neutron single-particle levels are also summarized in Table 1. These systems represent the heaviest possible neutron excess systems that would occur in the  $Z=14$  system.

**Table 1****Calculated Single-Particle and Experimental Decay Properties of Silicon Systems with  $22 \leq A \leq 54$** 

Nuclide	a(A)	Half-Life (Decay Mode)	
		Experiment <sup>a,b</sup>	This Work
<sup>22</sup> Si	+0.0278	29 ms ( $\beta^+$ ) <sup>a</sup>	29.0 ms ( $\beta^+$ ) <sup>c</sup>
<sup>23</sup> Si	+0.0113	42.3 ms ( $\beta^+$ ) <sup>a</sup>	42.3 ms ( $\beta^+$ ) <sup>c</sup>
<sup>24</sup> Si	+0.0346	0.14 s ( $\beta^+$ ) <sup>a</sup>	0.140 s ( $\beta^+$ ) <sup>c</sup>
<sup>25</sup> Si	+0.0171	0.220 s ( $\beta^+$ ) <sup>a</sup>	0.221 ( $\beta^+$ ) <sup>c</sup>
<sup>26</sup> Si	+0.0476	2.229 s ( $\beta^+$ ) <sup>a</sup>	2.23 s ( $\beta^+$ ) <sup>c</sup>
<sup>27</sup> Si	+0.0278	4.14 s ( $\beta^+$ ) <sup>a</sup>	4.14 s ( $\beta^+$ ) <sup>c</sup>
<sup>28</sup> Si	+0.0300	stable <sup>a</sup>	stable
<sup>29</sup> Si	+0.0300	stable <sup>a</sup>	stable
<sup>30</sup> Si	+0.0080	stable <sup>a</sup>	stable
<sup>31</sup> Si	-0.00794	2.62 h ( $\beta^-$ ) <sup>a</sup>	2.61 h ( $\beta^-$ ) <sup>d</sup>
<sup>32</sup> Si	-0.03597	160 y ( $\beta^-$ ) <sup>a</sup>	150 y ( $\beta^-$ ) <sup>d</sup>
<sup>33</sup> Si	-0.0023	6.1 s ( $\beta^-$ ) <sup>a</sup>	6.10 s ( $\beta^-$ ) <sup>d</sup>
<sup>34</sup> Si	-0.0087	2.8 s ( $\beta^-$ ) <sup>a</sup>	2.80 s ( $\beta^-$ ) <sup>e</sup>

**Table 1 (Continued)****Calculated Single-Particle and Experimental Decay Properties of Silicon Systems with  $22 \leq A \leq 54$** 

Nuclide	a(A)	Half-Life (Decay Mode)	
		Experiment <sup>a,b</sup>	This Work
<sup>35</sup> Si	-0.0027	0.8 s ( $\beta^-$ ) <sup>a</sup>	0.799 ms ( $\beta^-$ ) <sup>e</sup>
<sup>36</sup> Si	-0.0091	0.5 s ( $\beta^-$ ) <sup>a</sup>	0.501 s ( $\beta^-$ ) <sup>e</sup>
<sup>37</sup> Si	+0.0286	90 ms ( $\beta^-$ ) <sup>a</sup>	90.1 ms ( $\beta^-$ ) <sup>e</sup>
<sup>38</sup> Si	+0.0104	95 ms ( $\beta^-$ ) <sup>b</sup>	95.0 ms ( $\beta^-$ ) <sup>e</sup>
<sup>39</sup> Si	+0.0233	48 ms ( $\beta^-$ ) <sup>a</sup>	48.0 ms ( $\beta^-$ ) <sup>e</sup>
<sup>40</sup> Si	+0.0263	33 ms ( $\beta^-$ ) <sup>a</sup>	33.0 ms ( $\beta^-$ ) <sup>e</sup>
<sup>41</sup> Si	+0.0385	20 ms ( $\beta^-$ ) <sup>a</sup>	20.0 ms ( $\beta^-$ ) <sup>e</sup>
<sup>42</sup> Si	+0.0550	12 ms ( $\beta^-$ ) <sup>a</sup>	12.0 ms ( $\beta^-$ ) <sup>e</sup>
<sup>43</sup> Si	+0.0686	f,g	8.06 ms ( $\beta^-$ ) <sup>e</sup>
<sup>44</sup> Si	+0.0830	f,h	5.59 ms ( $\beta^-$ ) <sup>e</sup>
<sup>45</sup> Si	+0.0973	f	3.99 ms ( $\beta^-$ ) <sup>e</sup>
<sup>46</sup> Si	+0.1117	f,i	2.94 ms ( $\beta^-$ ) <sup>e</sup>

**Table 1(Continued)****Calculated Single-Particle and Experimental Decay Properties of Silicon Systems with  $22 \leq A \leq 54$** 

Nuclide	a(A)	Half-Life (Decay Mode)	
		Experiment <sup>a,b</sup>	This Work
<sup>47</sup> Si	+0.1260	f	2.22 ms ( $\beta^-$ ) <sup>e</sup>
<sup>48</sup> Si	+0.1404	f,j	1.72 ms ( $\beta^-$ ) <sup>e</sup>
<sup>49</sup> Si	+0.1547	f	1.09 ms ( $\beta^-$ ) <sup>k</sup>
<sup>50</sup> Si	+0.1691	f	0.888 ms ( $\beta^-$ ) <sup>k</sup>
<sup>51</sup> Si	+0.1834	f	0.736 ms ( $\beta^-$ ) <sup>k</sup>
<sup>52</sup> Si	+0.1978	f	0.615 ms ( $\beta^-$ ) <sup>k</sup>
<sup>53</sup> Si	+0.2121	f	0.519 ms ( $\beta^-$ ) <sup>k</sup>
<sup>54</sup> Si	+0.2265	f	0.442 ms ( $\beta^-$ ) <sup>k</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Ref. 30.<sup>b</sup>Ref. 31.<sup>c</sup>Allowed  $1d_{5/2}(p)$  to  $1d_{5/2}(n)$  positron decay transition.<sup>d</sup>Allowed  $1d_{3/2}(n)$  to  $1d_{3/2}(p)$  beta decay transition.<sup>e</sup>Allowed  $2s_{1/2}(n)$  to  $2s_{1/2}(p)$  beta decay transition.<sup>f</sup>No data provided in Ref. 30 - 32.<sup>g</sup>The Japanese data compilation<sup>32</sup> notes a calculated value of 5.65 ms for <sup>43</sup>Si.<sup>h</sup>The Japanese data compilation<sup>32</sup> notes a calculated value of 3.52 ms for <sup>44</sup>Si.<sup>i</sup>The Japanese data compilation<sup>32</sup> notes a calculated value of 1.95 ms for <sup>46</sup>Si.<sup>j</sup>The Japanese data compilation<sup>32</sup> notes a calculated value of 0.930 ms for <sup>48</sup>Si.<sup>k</sup>Allowed  $1f_{5/2}(n)$  to  $1f_{7/2}(p)$  beta decay transition.

The neutron excess systems summarized in Table 1 were based on an evaluation of alpha, beta, electron capture, and positron decay modes. Other decay modes that could possibly occur in neutron excess systems (e.g., n and 2n) are not readily evaluated using a single particle model, and were not evaluated. The results of Table 1 must be viewed with this limitation. However, since the neutron decay modes tend to be much shorter than the alpha, beta, electron capture, and positron decay modes<sup>30-32</sup>, the model results provide upper bounds on the half-lives of neutron excess aluminum isotopes.

## 6.1 $42 \geq A \geq 22$ Silicon Isotopes with Experimental Half-Life Data

<sup>22</sup>Si was best fit with an a(A) value of 0.0278. The <sup>22</sup>Si system closes the  $1p_{1/2}$  neutron shell. The <sup>23</sup>Si – <sup>28</sup>Si systems fill the  $1d_{5/2}$  neutron shell. <sup>23</sup>Si – <sup>28</sup>Si are best fit with a(A) values between 0.0113 and 0.0476 with an average value of about 0.0281. <sup>29</sup>Si and <sup>30</sup>Si fill the  $2s_{1/2}$  neutron shell, and are best fit with a(A) value of 0.0300 and 0.0080, respectively. For <sup>29</sup>Si and <sup>30</sup>Si, the average a(A) value is 0.019.

<sup>31</sup>Si – <sup>34</sup>Si systems were best fit with a(A) values between -0.0023 and -0.03597 with an average value of about -0.0137. The <sup>31</sup>Si – <sup>34</sup>Si nuclei fill the  $1d_{3/2}$  neutron shell.

The heaviest known neutron excess silicon systems (i.e.,  $^{35}\text{Si} - ^{42}\text{Si}$ ) fill the  $1f_{7/2}$  neutron shell. The  $^{35}\text{Si} - ^{42}\text{Si}$  systems were best fit with  $a(A)$  values between -0.0091 and 0.0550, with an average value of about 0.0213. There is no experimental half-life data for  $A > 42$  silicon systems.

The  $a(A)$  values for  $^{43}\text{Si} - ^{54}\text{Si}$  systems are based on the decreasing lifetime trends of neutron excess silicon systems<sup>30</sup>. Using the  $^{40}\text{Si} - ^{42}\text{Si}$  values, a linear extrapolation was utilized to obtain the  $a(A)$  values for  $^{43}\text{Si} - ^{54}\text{Si}$ . The derived values are listed in Table 1.

Table 1 lists the half-life of the limiting decay transition (i.e., the transition that has the shortest decay half-life). For example,  $^{31}\text{Si}$  has two beta decay transitions that are possible within the scope of the aforementioned single-particle model (i.e., allowed  $2s_{1/2}(n)$  to  $2s_{1/2}(p)$  [14.1 h], and allowed  $1d_{3/2}(n)$  to  $1d_{3/2}(p)$  [2.61 h]). For  $^{31}\text{Si}$ , the limiting beta decay mode is the allowed  $1d_{3/2}(n)$  to  $1d_{3/2}(p)$  [2.61 h] transition.

As noted in Table 1, the model predicts the proper decay mode for the known  $42 \geq A \geq 22$  silicon nuclei<sup>30-32</sup>. The results for the known systems summarized in Table 1 suggest that the model predictions of the neutron excess systems are reasonably credible.

$^{22}\text{Si}$  completes filling the  $1p_{1/2}$  neutron shell. The  $^{22}\text{Si}$  system decays through an allowed  $1d_{5/2}(p)$  to  $1d_{5/2}(n)$  positron decay transition, and has a calculated 29 ms half-life in agreement with data<sup>30</sup>.

For nuclei filling the  $1d_{5/2}$  neutron shell, model predictions for  $^{23}\text{Si} - ^{28}\text{Si}$  are within about 0.5% of the experimental half-lives<sup>30</sup>.  $^{23}\text{Si} - ^{27}\text{Si}$  decay via positron emission through allowed  $1d_{5/2}(p)$  to  $1d_{5/2}(n)$  transitions. The  $^{28}\text{Si}$  nucleus is correctly predicted to be stable by the model.

The  $^{29}\text{Si}$  and  $^{30}\text{Si}$  systems fill the  $2s_{1/2}$  neutron shell. Calculations suggest that  $^{29}\text{Si}$  and  $^{30}\text{Si}$  are stable nuclei in agreement with Ref. 30.

$^{31}\text{Si} - ^{34}\text{Si}$  fill the  $1d_{3/2}$  neutron shell. The  $^{31}\text{Si} - ^{33}\text{Si}$  systems decay by an allowed  $1d_{3/2}(n)$  to  $1d_{3/2}(p)$  beta decay transition, and their beta decay half-lives are within about 6% of the measured values<sup>30</sup>.  $^{34}\text{Si}$  decays by an allowed  $2s_{1/2}(n)$  to  $2s_{1/2}(p)$  beta decay transition, and its beta decay half-life is in agreement with the measured values<sup>30</sup>.

The  $1f_{7/2}$  systems,  $^{35}\text{Si} - ^{42}\text{Si}$ , are within 0.2% of their respective experimental beta decay half-lives<sup>30</sup>. These systems decay by an allowed  $2s_{1/2}(n)$  to  $2s_{1/2}(p)$  beta decay transition. These are the heaviest silicon nuclides that have measured decay half-life values and beta decay transition information<sup>30</sup>.

## 6.2 $54 \geq A \geq 43$ Silicon Isotopes without Experimental Half-Life Data

As noted in the previous section, the  $a(A)$  values for  $54 \geq A \geq 43$  silicon isotopes were derived from a fit based on the half-lives of  $^{40}\text{Si} - ^{42}\text{Si}$ .  $^{40}\text{Si} - ^{42}\text{Si}$  are the heaviest silicon isotopes measured experimentally<sup>30</sup>. This approach is consistent with the  $a(A)$  extrapolation methodology noted in Refs. 8 – 15. The  $a(A)$  values for  $54 \geq A \geq 43$  silicon systems are provided in Table 1.

Table 1 also summarizes calculated single-particle decay properties of silicon systems with  $54 \geq A \geq 43$ . Although experimental data for  $54 \geq A \geq 43$  silicon systems are not available<sup>30-32</sup>, these are nuclei of interest in astrophysical applications<sup>1-20</sup>.

The existence of  $54 \geq A \geq 43$  silicon systems, as predicted by the proposed model, is dependent on the characteristics of the interaction of Eq. 4. Although the existence of some of these systems may be an artifact of the model interaction,



their study is of critical importance in understanding the role of neutron excess silicon systems in nucleosynthesis.

The  $^{43}\text{Si}$  -  $^{46}\text{Si}$  systems fill the  $2p_{3/2}$  neutron shell. These systems also decay through an allowed  $2s_{1/2}(n)$  to  $2s_{1/2}(p)$  beta decay transition. The  $^{43}\text{Si}$  -  $^{46}\text{Si}$  beta decay half-lives decrease from 8.06 to 2.94 ms, respectively. Japanese Data Compilation calculations for  $^{43}\text{Si}$ ,  $^{44}\text{Si}$ , and  $^{46}\text{Si}$  are also consistent with the model results.

The  $^{47}\text{Si}$  and  $^{48}\text{Si}$  systems fill the  $2p_{1/2}$  neutron shell. These systems decay through an allowed  $2s_{1/2}(n)$  to  $2s_{1/2}(p)$  beta decay transition. The  $^{47}\text{Si}$  and  $^{48}\text{Si}$  half-lives are 2.22 and 1.72 ms, respectively. Japanese Data Compilation calculations for  $^{48}\text{Si}$  are also consistent with the model results.

$1f_{5/2}$  is the last bound neutron shell in silicon.  $^{49}\text{Si}$  -  $^{54}\text{Si}$  systems fill the  $1f_{5/2}$  neutron shell. These systems decay through an allowed  $1f_{5/2}(n)$  to  $1f_{7/2}(p)$  beta decay transition. The  $^{49}\text{Si}$  -  $^{54}\text{Si}$  beta decay half-lives decrease from 1.09 to 0.442 ms.

No silicon isotopes with  $A > 54$  are predicted by the model. This occurs because the  $1f_{7/2}$  neutron single-particle level is the last bound neutron state, and only 40 neutrons are bound in silicon systems. However, in view of the model potential uncertainties, the calculated properties of the heaviest silicon systems summarized in Table 1 are not definitive.

The predicted  $A = 43 - 54$  silicon isotopes have no experimental half-life data, but the model predicts beta decay half-lives in the range of 0.442 – 8.06 ms. Based on calculations in  $Z = 9 - 13$ , 20, 26, and 30 systems<sup>8-15</sup> and calculations summarized in the Japanese Data Compilation<sup>32</sup>, these results likely overestimate the beta decay half-lives of these neutron excess silicon nuclei. The model results are also likely to be an overestimate of the half-lives because the single-particle level calculations do not evaluate the short-lived neutron decay modes in the  $A = 43 - 54$  silicon nuclei.

## 7.0 Conclusions

Single-particle level calculations suggest that neutron excess silicon isotopes terminate with  $^{54}\text{Si}$  and filling of the  $1f_{5/2}$  neutron single-particle level. The  $43 \leq A \leq 54$  silicon systems have predicted beta decay half-lives in the 0.442 – 8.06 ms range, and likely overestimate the actual half-life values.

## References

- 1) D. M. Siegel and B. D. Metzger, Phys. Rev. Lett.**119**, 231102 (2017).
- 2) National Academy of Sciences Report No. 11796, Scientific Opportunities with a Rare-Isotope Facility in the United States, Washington DC: National Research Council (2007).
- 3) N. Fukuda et al., J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.**87**, 014202 (2018).
- 4) Y. Shimizu et al., J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.**87**, 014203 (2018).
- 5) J. Kurcewicz et al., Phys. Lett. B**717**, 371 (2012).
- 6) T. Baumann et al., Nature**449**, 1022 (2007).
- 7) O. B. Tarasov et al., Phys. Rev. C**87**, 054612 (2013).
- 8) J. J. Bevelacqua, Decay Characteristics of Neutron Excess Calcium Nuclei, Physics Essays**31** (4), 462 (2018).
- 9) J. J. Bevelacqua, Decay Characteristics of Neutron Excess Iron Nuclei, Physics Essays**32** (2), 175 (2020).
- 10) J. J. Bevelacqua, Decay Characteristics of Neutron Excess Fluorine Nuclei, QEIOS **24XLL9**, 1 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.32388/24XLL9>.

- 11) J. J. Bevelacqua, Decay Characteristics of Neutron Excess Zinc Nuclei, Qeios, **JZ11LG**, 1 (2020).  
<https://doi.org/10.32388/JZ11LG>.
- 12) J. J. Bevelacqua, Decay Characteristics of Neutron Excess Neon Nuclei, Qeios **1WR291**, 1 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.32388/1WR291>.
- 13) J. J. Bevelacqua, Decay Characteristics of Neutron Excess Sodium Nuclei, Qeios **1Y819A**, 1 (2021).  
<https://doi.org/10.32388/1Y819A>.
- 14) J. J. Bevelacqua, Decay Characteristics of Neutron Excess Magnesium Nuclei, Qeios **KIB58L**, 1 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.32388/KIB58L>.
- 15) J. J. Bevelacqua, Decay Characteristics of Neutron Excess Aluminum Nuclei, Qeios **LCAO3W**, 1 (2022).  
<https://doi.org/10.32388/LCAO3W>.
- 16) M. Terasawa, K. Sumiyoshi, T. Kajino, G. J. Mathews, and I. Tanihata, New Nuclear Reaction Flow during r-Process Nucleosynthesis in Supernovae: Critical Role of Light Neutron-Rich Nuclei,  
<https://cds.cern.ch/record/509832/files/0107368.pdf>.
- 16) S. R. Stroberg, A. Gade, J. A. Tostevin, V. M. Bader, T. Baugher, D. Bazin, J. S. Berryman, B. A. Brown, C. M. Campbell, K. W. Kemper, C. Langer, E. Lunderberg, A. Lemasson, S. Noji, F. Recchia, C. Walz, D. Weisshaar, and S. J. Williams, Single-particle structure of silicon isotopes approaching  $^{42}\text{Si}$ , Phys. Rev. C **90**, 034301 (2014).
- 17) S. S. Bhattacharjee, R. Bhattacharjee, R. Raut, S. S. Ghugre, A. K. Sinha, L. Chaturvedi, T. Trivedi, S. Ray, B. K. Yogi, R. Chakrabarti, S. Mukhopadhyay, A. Dhal, R. P. Singh, N. Madhavan, S. Muralithar, S. Saha, J. Sethi, and R. Palit, Spectroscopy and shell model calculations in Si isotopes, Phys. Rev. C **91**, 044306 (2015).
- 18) S. Mohammadi and F. Salimi, Energy Levels Calculations of  $^{30}\text{Si}$  and  $^{31}\text{Si}$  Isotopes Using OXBASH Code, *American Journal of Modern Physics* **4** (3-1), 40 (2015).
- 19) O. V. Bessalova, N. A. Fedorov, A. A. Klimochkina, M. L. Markova, T. I. Spasskaya, and T. Yu. Tretyakova, Evolution of single-particle structure of silicon isotopes, Eur. Phys. J. A **54**, 2 (2018).
- 20) B. A. Brown, The Nuclear Shell Model towards the Drip Lines, Physics **4**, 525 (2002).  
<https://doi.org/10.3390/physics4020035>.
- 21) A. Lukasiak and A. Sobiczewski, Acta Phys. Pol. **B6**, 147 (1975).
- 22) F. Petrovich, R. J. Philpott, D. Robson, J. J. Bevelacqua, M. Golin, and D. Stanley, Phys. Rev. Lett. **37**, 558 (1976).
- 23) G. E. Brown, J. H. Gunn, and P. Gould, Nucl. Phys. **46**, 598 (1963).
- 24) L. Fox and E. T. Godwin, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **45**, 373 (1949).
- 25) S. Hofmann and G. Münzenberg, Rev. Mod. Phys. **72**, 733 (2000).
- 26) E. Rost, Phys. Lett. **26B**, 184 (1968).
- 27) J. Blomqvist and S. Wahlborn, Ark. Fys. **16**, 545 (1959).
- 28) L. Ray and P. E. Hodgson, Phys. Rev. C **20**, 2403 (1979).
- 29) N. Schwierz, I. Wiedenhöver, and A. Volya, arXiv:0709.3525v1 [nucl-th] 21 Sep 2007.
- 30) E. M. Baum, M. C. Ernesti, H. D. Knox, T. R. Miller, and A. M. Watson, Nuclides and Isotopes – Chart of the Nuclides, 17th ed, Knolls Atomic Power Laboratory (2010).
- 31) National Nuclear Data Center, Brookhaven National Laboratory. NuDat (Nuclear Structure and Decay Data).

<http://www.nndc.bnl.gov/nudat2/>.

32) H. Koura et al., Chart of the Nuclides 2018, Japanese Nuclear Data Committee and Nuclear Data Center, Japanese Atomic Energy Agency (2018).

33) C. Y. Wong, Phys. Lett. **21**, 688 (1966).