

Review of: "Electron Spin Topology in Excited States and Fractional Spin Effect"

Mohamed El Hafidi¹

¹ University of Hassan II of Casablanca

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Although the work is consistent, the manuscript requires certain refinements and clarifications. They can be summarized as follows:

- 1) In the equation " $\hbar \partial / \partial \Psi = E \Psi$ ", the E factor is the Hamiltonian operator, while the authors consider it to be an eigenenergy .?
- 2) Equation (3) $\mathbf{jA} = \rho \mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{phi} + \mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{A}$ can be confusing as both members \mathbf{jA} look alike
- 3) To study wave spin in excited states of a confined electron, the authors consider a two-dimensional quantum well. Can they justify this choice and whether there is a more realistic potential?
- 5) To solve Eq 6, the authors set $P_z = 0$ Can they justify this constraint? Is it related to Landau levels?
- 6) After Eq 7: where σ_x and σ_y are the Pauli **matrices**.
- 7) After Eq 11 : the Compton wavelength should be $2\hbar/mc$
- 8) "The Schroedinger electron" should be the **Schrödinger** electron.
- 9) In equation 14, the authors should recall that \mathbf{A} is already defined by equation 11
- 10) after Eq 15: As an example, we choose an excited state (should be **As an example**)
- 11) Fig 1: axes should be indexed with legends
- 12) Fig 2 : the color scale must be indicated
- 13) Before eq 16, $\hbar/2m$ should be replaced by Bohr magneton : $\hbar/2m\Sigma$
- 14) Eq 20 the vector potential \tilde{A} has the same shape as A whereas it is an intrinsic potential due to the electron. Is there a more convincing explanation?
- 16) The discussion section should be separated from the conclusion for better visibility..

In conclusion, the paper could be approved as long as the authors respond satisfactorily to the above suggestions.