

# Review of: "[Case Study] Low-Level Laser and Its Interface With Sleep Dentistry: Clinical Case Report"

Rana Abdeltawab

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Though the topic is interesting, it is not well written.

Revisit the English throughout the manuscript.

Title: needs to be modified; it is unrelated to the rest of the work. The used modality aimed at improving the quality of life for people with sleep disorders did not help with their anesthesia for dental procedures.

Abstract: Introduction: redundant and not representative of the topic. How is it in a broad approach while this is only one patient? Why use superimposed pathologies while it is only one condition?

Methods: not clear. **What is the Innovative Isometry?** "This is a clinical case report of a patient with Parkinson's disease (PD) complaining of a sleep disorder." should be in the preceding introduction part.

Again, please avoid redundancy throughout the manuscript, e.g., "~~wecan~~ conclude that ~~low-potency~~ FB..."

Please revise abbreviations (FB or PB?, SD vs DS?)

In summary, this work needs to be re-written in an orderly manner.

As for the case, this presentation lacks a lot. For example, there is no real assessment of the patient's sleep disorder mentioned, e.g., sleep studies; it is all self-reported, which makes the results and conclusions questionable.

Thanks,