

## Review of: "Probabilistic Assessment of the Heavy Metal Pollution in Debrecen's Topsoil"

Mirjana Tešić<sup>1</sup>

1 University of Belgrade

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

## Review of: Probabilistic Assessment of the Heavy Metal Pollution in Debrecen's Topsoil

I suggest to excuse the word "Probabilistic" in the title of the paper.

1. Introduction /

6<sup>th</sup> row – The region continues - define which region

8<sup>th</sup> row - Sources of these heavy metals – Which heavy metals? Maybe it would be better to write: Sources of heavy metals in the environment ...

9<sup>th</sup> row - The natural forms of these elements - Which heavy metals?

12th row - <Vp>- Delete

13<sup>th</sup> row - these pollutants - Maybe it would be better to write: heavy metals

20 and 21<sup>th</sup> row <u>Brtnický et al., 2019</u>; Yang et al., 2019 – Delete underline, and "Yang" should be written with the first capital letter.

21<sup>th</sup> row - Yang et al., 2019 - Delete underline, and "Yang et al." is written with the first small letter.

22th row - Yang et al., 2019 - "Yang" should be written with the first capital letter.

2. Materials and methods - This title should be on the next page.

Fig. 1. – There is no figure.

2.2. Soil Sampling - It would be better to explain what "dose mine uniformly designated" means.

Table 1. Statistical summary for the eight pollutant elements and seven trace element concentrations of Debrecen topsoil – Should be on the next page.

It would be good to add more discussion.



In Figs. 2, 3, and 4, the legends are too small, so it is not possible to read them.

The titles of Tables 2, 3, 4, and 5 should be on the same pages as the tables.