

Review of: "Bell's Theorem and Counterfactual Definiteness CH"

Adam Bednorz¹

¹ University of Warsaw

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The paper tries to dismiss the violation of local realism by broken Bell inequalities. The author admits that local theory requires existence of the joint probability $p(A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2)$ but give no arguments against violation of Bell inequality. There are too many words and too few formulas. It is also forgotten the crucial freedom of choice. The Bell experiment is unique because it needs freely chosen settings so that the other party cannot know it before measurement. Otherwise, superdeterminism could explain all Bell results. One can of course go along this kind of argumentation but solid physical explanations are then required. As such the paper adds nothing to the scientific literature.