

Review of: "Enhancing Soil Stabilization in Soft Soils Through The Addition of Sand to Soil-Cement Piles: a Comprehensive Study"

Nor Azalina Rosli¹

¹ Universiti Malaysia Sarawak

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

It can be good research. There are a few comments that I want to highlight.

1. The abstract should contain about 10% introduction, 10% aims and objectives, 20% methodology, 40% main findings, and 20% for conclusion and future work. The abstract should already define what ECO-CSB and ECO-CSSB are.
2. Introduction part - There are no citations throughout the content, especially when you have numbers and data. Too long; at first, it looks like a review paper.
3. Methodology part - It should be written in a way that allows others to duplicate the experiments when they read your methodology. I don't see any ECO-CSB additives except in the abstract. The quality of the figures needs to be improved.
4. Results and Discussion part - I would prefer the results to be presented in graphs. The description and justification of each table/graph should be discussed immediately. It is suggested to include citations/findings from previous studies to support and strengthen the results and justification.