

# Review of: "Determinant of Vaccination Status among Under-Five Year-Old-Children: In Case of Mattu Town, Oromia Regional State, Ethiopia"

Gotam Das<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> King Khalid University Hospital

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

## Comments to authors

The authors of the paper mainly concentrate on "[Determinant of Vaccination Status among Under-Five Year-Old-Children: In Case of Mattu Town, Oromia Regional State, Ethiopia.](#)"

Here are some suggestions to improve the manuscript.

The abstract's format is still insufficient to give a compelling summary of this study. For instance, the rationale for why this study is vital is not obvious. The abstract looks long and needs to be precise.

The scientific process that was employed was thoroughly and lucidly explained. It is unclear, nevertheless, if to check the single lateral incisor agenesis.

In the discussion section, there is no critical thinking and the debate is quite brief. Write a paragraph outlining the study's constraints. It is important to use caution when using the research's conclusions outside of the experimental framework. Finally, describe what kinds of study are necessary in light of the new information in the project at hand.

The conclusions section must be reviewed and provided, ideally with a bulleted list, of just the study's important findings. Conclusions are confined to a synthetic overview of the data acquired.