

Review of: "Efficacy of Anogeissus leiocarpus as a Therapeutic Agent for Some Pathogenic Bacteria"

Solange De Sousa¹

¹ Universidade Federal da Paraíba

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The article is good from the point of view of plants that can be used, mainly in public health, for producing medicines. In the Background, correct the scientific name of the plant, which was written in two ways. In Material and Methods, correct the name of the bacteria to *Klebsiella* and not *Klepsiella*, as written in the text. In Inocula preparation, put a period at the end of the sentence. In the Antibacterial test of plant extract, the correct writing is 0.004 mL and not 0.004 ml. Page 6: why is there a slash at the end of the sentence? In the Hydroxyl radical scavenging assay, correct 37°C to 37 °C. List all the equations described in the manuscript. In the Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Assay, what was used as a blank? In Table 1, why were glycosides considered absent in the aqueous extract if there was a quantification of 15 +/- 0.16 mg/100 g? Why does *E. coli* have two zones of inhibition in the ethanolic extract? There is a single zone of inhibition for the same microorganism. Redo Table 2 (amplify the table) - it is very confusing and has a small font size. Why show in the Discussion text what is already in Tables 3, 4, and 5? Check whether the writing of the title of Table 5 is correct. Page 10 - check whether the grammar in relation to the authors' citation in the text is correct.