

# Review of: "[Review] Sarcopenia in Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19): All to Know from Basic to Nutritional Interventions from Hospital to Home"

Vijaya Anand

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The authors have put effort to evaluate the "Sarcopenia in Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19): All to Know from Basic to Nutritional Interventions from Hospital to Home". The authors describe the research undertaken with this in an organized manner, emphasizing the results obtained by them. The article needs modification for better cohesion of information to achieve the goal and the shortcomings which need to be considered.

1. The English need improvement since there are some grammatical and syntax errors in the manuscript. For example, the words "a same" may be as "the same"; "severity" as "the severity"; "expertise required" as "experts required"; "patients" as "the patients"; "the report of" as "a report by"; "As the reports" as "As reports"; "the lifestyle" as "lifestyle"; "to treatment" as "for treatment"; "the muscle mass" as "muscle mass"; "unhealthy" as "an unhealthy"; "The COVID-19" as "COVID-19"; "hospitalisation COVID-19" as "hospitalisation of COVID-19"; "the Sarcopenia" as "Sarcopenia"; "increases at" as "increases by at"; "the hospitalisation" as "hospitalisation"; "the hospital depression" as "hospital depression"; "the physical" as "physical"; "discharge with" as "discharge"; "that are" as "which are"; "the Sarcopenia in" as "Sarcopenia in"; "the hospitalisation" as "hospitalisation"; "the hospitalisation" as "hospitalisation"; "the depression during the quarantine" as "depression during quarantine"; "better be" as "better". The grammar mistakes which are not mentioned here are also to be checked and corrected properly.

2. There are some typing mistakes as well, and authors are advised to carefully proof-read the text. For example, the words "resulted sarcopenia" may be as "resulting sarcopenia"; "timeline" as "timelines"; "pattern," as "patterns,"; "exploring," as "exploration,"; "hormones changes" as "hormone changes"; "elevate the" as "elevates the"; "an important indicators" as "an important indicator of important indicators"; "at risk" as "at-risk"; "indicate a" as "indicates a"; "wasting" as "waste"; "body-weight" as "body weight"; "concerned," as "concern,"; "inactiveness" as "inactivity"; "fatigues as" as "fatigue as"; "sarcopenia risk" as "sarcopenia-risk"; "severer" as "severere". The typos not mentioned here are also to be checked and corrected properly.

3. Check the abbreviations throughout the manuscript and introduce the abbreviation when the full word appears the first time in the abstract and the remaining for the text and then use only the abbreviation (For example, SARS-CoV-2, IL-1 $\beta$ ,

etc.,). Make a word abbreviated in the article that is repeated at least three times in the text, not all words to be abbreviated.

4. The literature search should be described in detail. The authors are encouraged to include the database, search engines (like PubMed, ScienceDirect, Google scholar etc.), the keywords used etc., which may be included since it is a review article.

5. The limitation of the present investigation may be given along with conclusion or under separate heading for understanding the concepts clearly.