

Review of: "Cloud-based geospatial services for building capacity and safeguarding heritage in climatically marginal landscapes"

Sherein El-Shahat

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

General Comments

In general, the topic is interesting. The paper used a free application to be used in future for developing communities. In general, the manuscript has got some potential. Indeed, the topic discussed in this manuscript is in high interest.

However, the manuscript needs some further and major improvements before to be accepted for publication. In general, the research used "we" and "authors' that shouldn't be mentioned in the context. Also, there are long sentences that should be separated into short sentences. Moreover, the methodology is not clear. I suggest revision of the paper by authors to improve the research quality that is mostly clear.

2. Specific Comments

2.1. Abstract

Please consider:

- * The aim needs to be stated clearly associated with method.
- * The authors mention "we", replace it.
- * The authors should add the results and recommend the suitable intervention with the case study.

2.2. Introduction

Please consider:

- * The authors mention "we", replace it.
- * In figure 1, add the based source for climatically marginal landscapes, and add another clear and bigger figure for the two case studies to expose their boundary.

2.3. Body:

* The authors mention "we" and "authors", replace it.



- * Mention the tools in text or table and add the sources of data for each area.
- * Please add the application of every tool for the two cases and add comments on that application.
- * Please stick to a clear and specific boundary for each case in your application.
- * Add a reference to each used equation.
- * Authors can mention the tools and their methods in a separate part "Tools and Used Methods".
- * Please mention the abbreviation in the first writing.

2.4. Discussion

Please consider:

- * The authors mention "we" and "authors", replace it.
- * Please rewrite the discussion again, which should discuss and analyze the results of tools application on the case study.
- * Please brief a little bit more this section and focus on the important points only that involving around the research findings.

2.5. Conclusion

- * Please orienting the conclusion to the research findings.
- * The authors mention "we", replace it.
- * Please include some quantitative findings.

Qeios ID: YO8J3Y · https://doi.org/10.32388/YO8J3Y