

# Review of: "Attitude towards business activity risk: evidence using logit models for two groups of OECD countries"

Jayanty Kuppusamy<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Multimedia University

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

Your paper is interesting and it has provided some good inputs in the area of entrepreneurial study. However, there are a number of changes that can be made to improve the paper.

1. There are a number of sentences that are incomplete. Do check through the paper and make the necessary changes.

a. For instance- those that has the word undertake or undertaking. ...Check on those and it will be good to make the necessary changes. (The low quality of current jobs around the world and their scarcity have led to the need to undertake *the career of entrepreneurship*).

b. the sentence starting with however is done twice one after the other sentence (check the conclusion section and make the necessary changes).

## 2. Abstract-

Design/methodology/approach- It will be better to also include the information on -

analysis is done by dividing the countries studied into 2 groups (provide a brief explanation on this)

Findings- do provide the main findings especially on the critical factors.

Originality and value- can strengthen this part and add value to the paper. For example, the comparison is made between two groups of first world and ....which are different culturally and also economically however, similarity exist in terms of the factors. The difference lies on the level.

2. I believe there is only one objective to the study, thus, the sub topic should be identified as objective of this study.

## 3. Objectives of this study-

More information can be provided for the justification of dividing the groups into first world countries and members of OECD and Latin America and members of OECD.

4. The results are presented quite well. However, it will be better to provide more explanation based on the results in Table 3 and 4.

5. In addition, having one extra table that compared the percentages between (first world countries and members of

OECD-Graph 1) with (Latin America and members of OECD-Graph 2) will be good with brief explanation. This can be followed by Graph 3.

6. In page 14, it is mentioned to refer Figure 4 which does not exist. Check on this and amend accordingly.

7. In the discussion part, it will be better to relate the findings with past studies especially the variables which are found to have impact.