

# Review of: "Intelligent Transportation System Real-Time Tracking"

Antonio Polimeni<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Messina

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

The topic is worthy of interest, considering its repercussions in other areas as well. But I think you should improve your paper. The main issue is that the novelty of the paper does not clearly emerge. Here are some suggestions.

I suggest improving the literature review. Some suggestions (not exhaustive):

- <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trpro.2020.02.109>
- <https://doi.org/10.1109/ITSC.2019.8917476>
- <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tra.2021.03.011>
- <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trb.2021.06.020>
- <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42524-021-0180-2>
- <https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/5398316>
- Other...

Please, better describe the advancement of your approach with respect to similar existing systems. The hardware you use is well known; you should stress the innovative part of your approach. Giving users information in real time and tracking vehicles (e.g., AVM) is now practise in most cities, once again you should bring out what is new in what you propose.

In Section 2.2, you speak about the passenger satisfaction, but it seems that this is not covered in the rest of the article. Please, clarify such an aspect.

The structure of Section 5 is a bit dispersive. There are sub-sections with just a sentence. I suggest trying to group where possible, to make the section flow more smoothly.