

Review of: "Health Outcome and Economic Growth: The Case of Malaria in Nigeria"

Opeoluwa Oyedele

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Thank you for the opportunity to review this paper. Below are some comments and concerns for consideration in revising this work:

- 1. Improve the expression of the words by using scientific writing-appropriate language.
- 2. With the aim of improving the document to publishable quality, review the manuscript, correct its structure, correct the grammatical errors, and pay special attention to in-text citations.
- 3. The abstract does not adequately state the research problem.
- 4. More background information on the malaria situation in Nigeria should be added in the Introduction section since it is the case study of this review, as per the title of the review.
- 5. The problem statement needs to be clearly articulated. What the problem is is not coming out. What is the issue in the Nigerian context that the authors are trying to address? The research gap is still not clear.
- 6. "Equation 1" was mentioned within the paragraph, but none of the equations in the document were numbered.
- 7. Inconsistencies when referring to the graph number within the text. In one paragraph it is referred to as "graph 1 and graph 2", however, in the next one, it is called "graph 4.1 and graph 4.2", while the figure itself shows "Graph 4.1 and Graph 4.2."
- 8. What is the title for Graph 4.1 and 4.2?
- 9. The Analysis and Interpretation of Data section needs to be improved. To be precise, the analysis needs to be properly done, and the interpretations need to be properly aligned to the results of the model.
- 10. The discussion part needs to be improved. The results should be positioned into a global perspective. Is the situation in Nigeria similar to or different from situations in other countries?
- 11. Conclusion and recommendations could have been done separately in two separate sections.
- 12. What are the limitations of this review?
- 13. The study is either lacking or missing the original contribution to the existing fund of knowledge.



14. In the last reference list under the Reference section, it should be "Applied," not Applies.