

Review of: "Honorary Authorship in Biomedical Journals: The Endless Story"

Anup Bhat¹

¹ Manipal University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

This is an exciting topic of discussion. The author in this editorial has attempted to list a few authorship misconducts in biomedical journals and advocated a probable solution. However, the author should put more light on existing problems of policies, lack of awareness among researchers that promote honorary authorship. The problem arising from honorary authorship is seldom restricted to individual or group levels but to the science. Honorary authorship takes different forms, such as ghost, guest, gift, anonymous, forged, and theft authorship. Other forms of authorship abuse not listed in the editorial can also be highlighted. Solutions to each of these are different, and some discussion on the possible solutions to cut this down, will make this editorial complete. It may be challenging to stop authorship misconduct completely and implementing the authorship index proposed by the author in fighting authorship misconduct is a good idea. A suggestion on development of authorship index can be discussed in this editorial. Other possible solutions for authorship misconduct can be listed to make it complete.

Typos need correction.