Review of: "Fintech Revolution: Empowering Entrepreneurial Intentions through Crowdfunding, Cryptocurrency, Blockchain, Mobile Payments, and Artificial Intelligence"

Dhani Shanker Chaubey[1]

1 Uttaranchal University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Paper title: Fintech Revolution: Empowering Entrepreneurial Intentions through Crowdfunding, Cryptocurrency, Blockchain, Mobile Payments, and Artificial Intelligence

Dear Sir

Thanks for providing this opportunity to review the paper entitled "Fintech Revolution: Empowering Entrepreneurial Intentions through Crowdfunding, Cryptocurrency, Blockchain, Mobile Payments, and Artificial Intelligence" submitted to Qeios . After carefully reviewing the article, some issues are observed that need to be taken care of to bring about qualitative improvement in the manuscript.

1. The article provides an extensive exploration of the relationship between various Fintech innovations and entrepreneurial intentions, offering valuable insights into how these technologies are shaping the entrepreneurial ecosystem. Furthermore, despite focusing on the relatively underexplored market, the study falls short in clearly articulating how its findings challenge or extend current knowledge. This lack of explicit differentiation diminishes the impact of the research, raising concerns about its originality and uniqueness within the broader academic discourse on the Fintech Revolution. The introduction lacks a convincing narrative. The author/s should rework this aspect to better engage readers and clearly outline the rationale behind the study, study's purpose, and significance.
2. Theoretical Framework: The conceptual framework could be more detailed, with clearer linkages between the different Fintech innovations and their impact on entrepreneurial intentions. It would be helpful to visually represent the framework to make it more accessible to readers.
3. Conceptual Clarity: While the article covers a wide range of Fintech innovations, it would benefit from more precise definitions and distinctions between the different technologies, particularly in the conceptual framework section.
4. Relevance: The topic is highly relevant, given the rapid advancements in Fintech and their increasing influence on startups and the broader economy.
5. The combination of qualitative interviews and quantitative surveys enriches the study, providing a well-rounded understanding of the impact of Fintech on entrepreneurship. The methodology section could be strengthened by providing more detailed information about the sampling techniques and the rationale behind selecting the specific sample size and target population.

6. Hypothesis Development: There are many hypotheses proposed by the researcher with literature support and justification of the literature. Some hypotheses, particularly those related to the mediation effects (e.g., H2, H4), could be more thoroughly justified with references to existing literature.
7. The data analysis presented looks good based on the information you provided. Cronbach’s alpha, composite reliability (CR), and convergent validity were assessed to evaluate model fitness. The data analysis presented seems well-conducted, but including more details would significantly enhance its clarity. The description of the analysis could be more detailed about what the constructs being measured represent. The table currently lacks information about the data source (for instance, random sample of the Indian population or a specific group of devotees), which is crucial for understanding its origin and generalizability. Additionally, a more detailed explanation of the constructs being measured would greatly benefit the reader's comprehension.
8. Discussion and Implications: The discussion section could be expanded to explore the practical implications of the findings in more depth, particularly for policymakers and entrepreneurs in emerging markets.
9. The conclusion could be more definitive in summarizing the key findings and their implications for practice and policy. It currently reads more as a summary rather than a synthesis of the study's contributions.

Prof.(Dr.) D. S. Chaubey

+9194117 12859 (M.)