

# Review of: "[Commentary] Re: Teleology and the Meaning of Life"

Zaher Alajlani

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

I salute the author for thinking outside the box and exploring the possibility of using AI to enhance our understanding of philosophical matters.

However, it is very hard to review the article for two main reasons: 1) the lack of original commentary by the author and 2) the arguments generated by ChatGPT have no philosophical substance.

I object to the author's statement: "Thus, the meaning of life is survival and reproduction . . ." This is rather too simplistic and dogmatic. First, the notion of meaning is extremely complex, and the author doesn't adequately define it. Second, I know the author is arguing from a teleological/biological viewpoint, but reproduction can't simply be the meaning of life. If we consider the author's point valid here, we must believe it is impossible for a person who can't or chooses not to procreate to have a meaningful life. I am afraid this can't be true.

The paper reads more like a blog post than an academic piece.

I wish the author all the best in their writing and academic endeavors and hope to read more of their work in the future.