

# Review of: "Effective Communication in Virtual Project Teams at Children Mission Africa: A Short Communication"

Caroline Storr<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> McGill University

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

This is a timely article and has the potential to offer insight into better communication for virtual teams. It is clearly written, and multiple sources are referenced. While this paper is a case study using a narrative methodological approach, it is not clear if any frameworks were used in the content analysis? More description of the number of interviews and artifacts examined would be helpful. In terms of findings, again more detail would be useful to the reader. For example, while synchronous video conferencing was noted to be helpful, was there a noted interval that helped this team? Similarly, the article mentions there were different cross-cultural communication practices. What were they? Were any more conducive to better communication? The name of the agency used for the case study is mentioned, but are there any particular characteristics of the agency that might be helpful for readers to compare to their own agencies? Did the authors have any recommendations for future studies that might help to identify best practices in virtual group communications?