

Review of: "Identification of Epidural Space: A Comparison Study Between Contrast Spread and Loss of Resistance Techniques"

rekib saçaklıdır

Potential competing interests: The author(s) declared that no potential competing interests exist.

1-) "hypothesized that the contrast spread technique might recognize epidural space concurrently with or sooner than LORT and that smaller needles might be employed with the fluoroscopy only method but not with LORT. To test my hypotheses, I conducted a comparison study."

In the text, instead of I did it, formal structures like we did it should be used.

2-) The key words should be selected in accordance with MeSH

3-) "The study participants were patients of Astoria Pain Management, New York, USA (age 28–72 years)" Why was this age range chosen in particular?

4-) "recent MRI findings confirming the diagnosis of cervical radiculitis" the stage of the disc herniation, whether there is spinal stenosis or not, affects the results of the study, have you looked at them?

5-) "Patients were excluded from the study if they were taking anticoagulants" Why did you exclude patients using anticoagulants, can't injections be given to patients using anticoagulants?

6-) Why did you exclude patients using anticoagulants, can't injections be given to patients using anticoagulants?

7-) "Epidrum device as I consider it an objective and reproducible method for epidural space" please use your opinion in the discussion not in methods section.