

Review of: "Mandatory vaccinations, the segregation of citizens and promotion of inequality in the modern democracy of Greece and other democratic countries. Is it ethical for the scientific community to "enforce" or silently back-up such policies?"

Daniel Pinheiro¹

1 Universidade do Estado de Santa Catarina

Potential competing interests: The author(s) declared that no potential competing interests exist.

The proposal of the article is clear, and brings relationship and relevance with the current context. But, in the introduction, a suggestion is to contextualize the local environment a little more, for foreign readers, bringing, for example, in a brief and introductory way, elements that guide during the reading the idea of how Covid affected Greece. It is also possible to briefly explore the path that leads to the construction of the thesis to be defended and how it will contribute to the set of articles already published on the subject and to the management of this public problem.

In the discussions, although technically some analyzes are proposed, in the end, ethical and political questions are addressed. These questions could have been addressed earlier, in the context of the introduction, and be taken up again with some theoretical relationship during the discussion, strengthening the final argument.

In the conclusions, although it is done briefly, perhaps strengthening the previous point in the discussion, clarifying what is defined in the article as policy or political decision, in relation to the problem, one can also say what the difference is for medicine. A few additional lines in the discussion and a theoretical relationship would already strengthen the line of argument, leading to better conclusions.

Qeios ID: YYKZM0 · https://doi.org/10.32388/YYKZM0