

Review of: "A New Record of *Elymnias caudata* Butler, 1871 (Insecta: Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae) from Nagpur in Central India"

Dibyajyoti Ghosh¹

¹ Southern Regional Centre, Zoological Survey of India, Kolkata, India

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The article is overall well written. I want to indicate a few suggestions from my perspective, shall of the authors' perusal.

- In the Introduction part, 1st line - It is better to avoid "As" in the beginning of the article. It would be better to be rephrased. You may consider types such as "Butterflies (Insecta: Lepidoptera), unlike most other invertebrates, have been extensively observed, collected, and studied by naturalists in the past, and ever since, the interest in conducting research on butterflies has only increased all over the world."
- With reference #3, try to include a more recent reference. Also, try to be specific on the bioindicator point, such as "Ismail, N., Rahman, A. A. A., Mohamed, M., Bakar, M. F. A., & Tokiman, L. (2020). Butterfly as a bioindicator for the development of conservation areas in Bukit Reban Kambing, Bukit Belading, and Bukit Tunku, Johor, Malaysia. *Biodiversitas Journal of Biological Diversity*, 21(1).";
- In the Results section, the statement "These plants include *Areca catechu*, *Chrysalidocarpus lutescens*, and *Caryota urens*" - include the references.
- It is better to rephrase the sentence following, as the true meaning is losing its essence: "Butterfly species thought to be most representative of the original, predevelopment butterfly fauna progressively disappear as the sites become more urban."
- Perhaps a small note on the distribution of the genus *Elymnias* in India shall shed some light on the overall occurrence pattern of the particular butterfly species?