

Review of: "Boring Language Is Constraining the Impact of Climate Science"

Juan F. Franco

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Thanks to the authors for connecting with this topic. It is undoubtedly relevant and adds to the discussion about communicating climate change. The essay has relevant messages, such as making language more straightforward and making science more understandable for everyone (not just the scientists themselves).

However, I recommend that authors adjust or complement the following aspects to improve the quality of the manuscript.

- i. The introduction could be shorter and more organized. A relationship is not necessarily shown between the concepts described and why they are important to the article's topic. For example, it is recommended to refer to studies that have analyzed the audience for climate change and if there is evidence that language has been a natural barrier to believing in and acting against climate change.
- ii. Support some statements in the text with more significant evidence. That an academic text includes a mathematical equation means that the language has to be changed. The recommendation should take into account the context of the medium in which it is published and the audience of that medium.
- iii. It is true that climate science and science communication should also collaborate with experts in other cultural sectors. However, just because climate change is addressed by actors/comedians does not guarantee that it will be understood and embraced by the general public. An example of this is politics, a topic widely used by comedians and one that only some understand or are interested in beyond making fun of what happens to their leaders.
- iv. Finally, there is something in this reviewer's criteria that is very relevant. Just because a text is written in scientific language that few understand does not mean that it is not interesting. That is something in which authors can go deeper. They can reflect on experiences and recommendations from their work areas on how they can contribute to help communicate these more technical topics, which scientists find difficult to bring to a broader audience.