

Peer Review

Review of: "Bladder Chemical Carcinogens in the Workplace"

Francisco Palencia-Sánchez¹

1. Departamento de Medicina Preventiva y Social, Pontificia Universidad Javeriana, Colombia

The importance of the article is indisputable due to the fact that cancer is one of the main public health problems today. As a specialist in occupational health, it is unusual to think of bladder cancer because it is not in the top five worldwide. According to the Globocan report, it is the ninth, so it is a public health issue. I like that the article is concise, easily readable, and straight to the point. The author describes the main etiological agents of this pathology in a straightforward way. This is the main strength of this article, and another strength is the call to action related to this topic, for the reason of the detailed explanation of the etiological cancer agents. This section "2. A wide variety of aetiological agents encountered in the workplace" could be improved by adding methodology.

I suggest that to improve this clever work, the author explicitly write the methodology used in this article, which I think is a narrative review. Because if the author writes that this is a narrative review, this is a way to strengthen the quality of this paper. Besides putting the methodology in the text, it is the manner to accomplish the objective of this article, which is to prevent the disease, particularly bladder cancer. The section on methodology could improve the description of the etiological causes of bladder cancer.

This is the way to clearly present the methodology of this research, which could improve the delivery of a message in prevention.

The readability of this paper is okay for me, so I do not have an idea to improve this subject.

Declarations

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.