

Review of: "[Empirical Paper] Product Development of Digital Platform for Mental Health During Pregnancy Period: 'Get Well Mom'"

Ahmet Tanhan

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Title of the work: Product Development of Digital Platform for Mental Health During Pregnancy Period: 'Get Well Mom'

I have enjoyed reading the paper and please see my attached feedback.

I humbly invite you to respond to my comments and reviseyour manuscript.

When you revise your manuscript pleasehighlight the changesyou make in the manuscript

by using the track changesmode in Word or by using coloured text; I would prefer coloured text. In this way I can see the changes much quicker.

Main points:

- 1. Title: good and you can make the title more brief
- 2. Abstract and keywords clear and comprehensive good and can add more about the results or pilot studies
- 3. Overall language: need to be checked and edited, there are some incomplete sentences.
- 4. Length of paragraph: good and
 - a. you can check the paper and make sure every paragraph is not more than 5 sentences. The best is to stick with 3 to 5 sentences.
 - b. And you can add some subtitles to be more organized and short sections. Please see the suggested papers above. I would suggest you to look at the suggested studies and use more subtitles to make the paper more organized.
- 5. Introduction: good and
 - a. There are incomplete sentences please check all the text
 - b. "Based on the existing issues and problems followed by the results of interviews with prospective users, I" whoa re these users, this sentence appear immediately without setting the ground
 - c. It seems figure 2 should come before table 1 so the reader can know about the model and service;
 - d. You can use more references to indicate the effect of covid-19 on people life, see some quite effective, comprehensive covid-19 studies below.

Doyumğaç, İ., Tanhan, A., & Kıymaz, M. S., (2021). Understanding the most important facilitators and barriers for online education during COVID-19 through online photovoice methodology. *International Journal of Higher Education*, *10*(1), 166-190. https://doi.org/10.5430/ijhe.v10n1p166



https://scholar.google.com/scholar?

$$\label{lem:bound} \begin{split} &\text{hl} = \text{en\&as_sdt} = 0\%2\text{C}\\ &\text{Seq} = \text{Understanding+the+most+important++facilitators++and+barriers+for+online+education+during+COVID-19+through+online+photovoice+methodology\&btnG=} \end{split}$$

Tanhan, A., Arslan, G., Yavuz, K. F., Young, J. S., Çiçek, İ., Akkurt, M. N., Ulus, İ. Ç., Görünmek, E. T., Demir, R., Kürker, F., Çelik, C., Akça, M. Ş., Ünverdi, B., Ertürk, H., & Allen, K. (2021). A constructive understanding of mental health facilitators and barriers through Online Photovoice (OPV) during COVID-19. *ESAM Ekonomik ve Sosyal Araştırmalar Dergisi, 2*(2), 214-

249. https://dergipark.org.tr/en/pub/esamdergisi/issue/64932/956618

https://scholar.google.com.tr/scholar?

hl=en&as_sdt=0,5&q=A+constructive+understanding+of+mental+health+facilitators+and+barriers+through+Online+Photovoice+%28OP V%29+during+COVID-19&btnG=

- 1. **Thoroughness of the literature review:** can support with some recent studies. This will increase the effect of the paper and the journal.
- Clarity of the description of the Theoretical Framework (TF):please check the suggested studies and see if you can provide your tf more clearly.
- 3. Research design: need to be edited so one can follow, please see suggested studies and how they flow.
- 4. Clearly providing research questions and/or purpose: can be improved to be follow with more ease, see suggested studies and how they flow.
- 5. Discussion of the results and their significance: need to edited and be provided under a clear section.
- 6. Soundness of conclusions in relation to data presented: need to be imrpved.
- 7. Limitation: need to be provided
- 8. **Implication:** need to be provided and you can call future researchers to use OPV to address the similar issues: using OPV to understand pregnant women and improved their situation. You can see the following OPV papers

Tanhan, A., & Strack, R. W. (2020). Online photovoice to explore and advocate for Muslim biopsychosocial spiritual wellbeing and issues: Ecological systems theory and ally development. *Current Psychology*, *39*(6), 2010-2025. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12144-020-00692-6

https://scholar.google.com/scholar?

 $\underline{\text{hl}=\text{en\&as_sdt=0\%2C5\&q=Online+photovoice+to+explore+and+advocate+for+Muslim++biopsychosocial+spiritual+wellbeing+and+issue}}\\ \underline{\text{s\%3A+Ecological+systems+theory+and+ally+development\&btnG=}}$

- APA format: I am not sure which reference style you follow and make sure you stick with one or the ones journal suggest and if you need APA 7
 - a. You can get more information on APA 7 for free from this website https://owl.purdue.edu/owl/research_and_citation/apa_style/apa_formatting_and_style_quide/general_format.html
 - b. For information on APA style (7th edition) for references, please see:
 - i. https://apastyle.apa.org/style-grammar-guidelines/references
 - ii. http://www.tandf.co.uk/journals/authors/style/reference/tf_APA.pdf
- 2. Figure/tables: in table 1- please provide full exp. for acronyms (DS etc.)



3. In-text reference: good and check the note above on reference style. I am not sure which reference style you follow and make sure you stick with one or the ones journal suggest