

# Review of: "Airbase Compatibility with Spatial Planning: A Case Study of Airbase Waterkloof (South Africa)"

Natasa Danilovic Hristic<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Architecture and Urban & Spatial Planning of Serbia

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

The research is based on the case study with empirical approach, which gives great opportunity to explore and research theoretical hypotheses and compare with practical experiences. The topic is interesting and convenient for creative and science-based approach. However, the authors remained in the sphere of comprehensive, professional work. If they make a small effort to adapt and supplement the work, it could be considered a scientific contribution. Generally speaking, I suggest some additions in basic structure in order to produce scientific paper .

- The abstract - please make it more concise.
- Start the Introduction section with reasons for conducting the investigation, defining the purpose of the work and its significance and highlight why it is important. Propose/state main research questions and suggest methodological approach.
- Then place the study in a broad context with literature review, and this Theoretical Framework could be subsection.
- Add section Materials and Methods, a diagram of the research procedure is missing, please describe in more detail combination and influences of the different methods.
- In Discussions give comparison and relationship regarding references mentioned in the Introduction and in the Theoretical framework section, does your research stands on the same principles, bases or gives some new cognition?
- Conclusions remains too short, the wider scientific and practical contribution and aim of the paper should be proved, the novelty of research methods, any obstacles and limitations, recommendations for further investigation or practical use of findings?
- Tables and charts are fine, very informative, placed adequately.
- The reference list is modest, make effort to investigate other (similar, comparative...) scientific papers and form Theoretical Framework, look back at them and comment on the similarities and differences in approach and results in Discussion section.