

Review of: "Assessing Sex Education Awareness Among Higher Secondary School Students in India"

Edith Nnenna Utaka

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The Author has done a great job by working on this important topic among this population. However, some important revisions are necessary:

Introduction: the introduction lacks much context. The author need to provide much information about the topic, the problem statement, magnitude of problem and justification for the study.

Methodology: much details need to be added. How was the stratification of the study participants done?

Data collected: what does the author mean by trained surveyors? I suggest a more scientific term is used here.

Results: in addition to providing the percentages, it would be nice to state the figures too.

Discussion: the author repeated the results in the discussion section instead of giving a comprehensive analysis and discussion of the findings. The author should discuss the results in relation to other previous related studies.

Recommendation: the points are too much. A comprehensive and concise recommendation should be made.

Conclusion: the results were also repeated here. Please provide a brief conclusion of the work here.

Ethical approval and Informed consent: there is no information in the article regarding ethical approval and informed consent. It will be great if these information are provided.