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Dear editor

I thoroughly read that research. I also read the author's response and the reviewer's remarks. The sole flaw in the research report is that it is an observational retrospective study with a limited number of patients. Nonetheless, it provides us with guidelines and scope for a portion of healthcare services in low-income communities. It demonstrated to us how doctors can handle other treatments if AVF is not possible. It demonstrated to us a comparison between femoral and other access as a straightforward hemolysis route. Lastly, it is advised that AVF be taken into consideration, and the establishment of a precise blueprint. I therefore embrace that research because it is reputable and well-made. I agree for the research to be published following a small revision.

The following contains some feedback on your effort.

Abstract

- Materials and methods: 1. The time of the study is not mentioned. 2. The method used to collect the data should also appear here.
- Objective: It is clear.
- Results: Males were more prevalent than (females).
- Conclusion: Good.

Introduction

1. Needs some of the prevalence of each type of vascular access. You can find it in the medical literature.
2. Otherwise, it is well-written.

Materials and Methods

1. The author needs to explain his area clearly (state, town, country, and the service community of the center).
2. Defines the types of underlying diseases that lead to hemodialysis.

Data Analysis

1. Mention the types of variables used and describe the statistical tests employed to analyze these parameters.
2. Explain if your data is normally distributed or not.
3. Mention the value that is accordingly used to classify the data significantly.

4. On this account, the data analysis of this study has not consisted.

Results

Figures 1, 2, and 3 are not mentioned in the text results chapter.

Discussion

1. This chapter is well-written and stated.
2. At the end of this chapter, the author needs to explain what the limitations of this study are.

Conclusion

Well-stated.
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