

Review of: "Post-Conflict Reconstruction: How Social Identity Change Informs our Understanding of the Ukrainian Experience of Forced Migration"

Elvira Molina-Fernández¹

1 Universidad de Granada

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

This article is a sample of the contributions that are possible from a committed social science. The approach to the problem is evidence that social research should not remain on the margins of the social and political reality in which we live. On the other hand, its findings are an interesting contribution to evaluate interventions not only considering their meaning and significance.

From a methodological point of view, the use of in-depth interviews is seen as an appropriate strategy from which to bring out the meanings and senses of the experiences of the people involved.

However, with regard to the conclusions, in the opinion of this reviewer, the narrative should reflect the fact that the objectives of this type of research do not seek to generalise the results, but rather to better understand social realities and phenomena. In particular, I believe that the conclusions should be nuanced to the understanding and reflection of the group of people who have participated, rather than resorting to more generalising expressions such as: "One conclusion of this study, therefore, is that if support groups are present and a shared social identity emerges, the impact of 'social' secondary stress (Alfadhli & Drury, 2018a) on psycho-social wellbeing is mitigated"; o como "However, our research also demonstrates that solidarity does more than mitigate and stabilise".

All in all, I see this article as a reflection of a necessary, relevant, innovative, and fine-tuned research process.

Qeios ID: ZCNYIB · https://doi.org/10.32388/ZCNYIB